

Christchurch International Airport Limited

Supplementary Voluntary Disclosures

- Price Reset 1 December 2012
- Annual Disclosure for the Year ending 30 June 2013

28 November 2014

Executive summary

- 1 On 24 October 2012 we set our charges for the period from 1 December 2012 to 30 June 2017 (the period referred to as **PSE2**).
- 2 Our PSE2 charges begin the recovery of our investment in the new Integrated Terminal (*ITP*) development and are based on a long-run levelised price path. This long-run levelised price path was designed to smooth the effects of the ITP investment on prices set, balancing the needs of Christchurch Airport with the economic impact such prices would have on our customers. The prices were set after robust consultation with our substantial customers in 2012.
- 3 In addition, our PSE2 charges incorporated a transitional glide path up to the longrun price level, resulting in a permanent under-recovery to Christchurch Airport (and corresponding permanent saving to our customers) in the order of \$16 million NPV.
- 4 This was our first pricing decision to be subject to the new information disclosure regime regulated under Part 4 of the Commerce Act 1986 (*Act*). It was closely scrutinised as part of the Commerce Commission's (*Commission*) review and subsequent report on the effectiveness of information disclosure regulation in relation to Christchurch Airport, pursuant to section 56G of the Act.
- 5 In reporting on the effectiveness of information disclosure regulation in relation to Christchurch Airport, the Commission acknowledged the efficiency basis for our longrun levelised price path, but raised some concerns about the transparency of how we reported our PSE2 prices in our disclosures.
- 6 To address the Commission's transparency concerns we have:
 - 6.1 re-issued our Pricing Event Disclosure (dated 19 December 2012) and our first historic Annual Disclosure for the period ending 30 June 2013 (dated 30 November 2013) (together, the **Voluntary Disclosures**), based on a revised disclosure methodology and tax treatment to address the Commission's transparency concerns;
 - 6.2 committed to using the revised disclosure methodology for the remainder of PSE2; and
 - 6.3 have committed to address some longer term modelling elements raised by the Commission in our reset of prices in July 2017.
- 7 A key element of our revised disclosure methodology is a change from using a standard straight line depreciation method, to using a method that calculates the depreciation implied by the long-run price path. We have also adopted a post-tax approach. These changes mean our Voluntary Disclosures will enable stakeholders to identify:
 - 7.1 how much of our investment we recover and what the rate of return will be for the PSE2 period; and
 - 7.2 a closing regulatory asset base (*RAB*) at the end of PSE2 (30 June 2017) that is consistent with our PSE2 prices and that shows how much of our investment has been recovered during the PSE2 period.
- 8 In addition, it will be a simple exercise to derive from this closing RAB the opening asset base on which prices will be reset from July 2017.

- 9 The revised disclosure methodology was developed by Incenta Economic Consulting (*Incenta*). Key stakeholders were given an opportunity to comment on the proposed methodology and we appreciated them taking the time to do so. The finalised disclosure methodology, and the feedback from stakeholders, is described in the Final Incenta Report.
- 10 The Final Incenta Report is **attached** to this report alongside the Voluntary Disclosures.
- 11 We are confident these disclosures transparently report the return of our investment achieved during PSE2. As the Final Incenta Report illustrates, this improved transparency necessarily requires engaging with a certain amount of technical detail and we appreciate the level of engagement from our stakeholders during this process. We are committed to making the information disclosure regime useful for our stakeholders, and we believe the revised disclosure methodology will better inform them about both the return of our investment during PSE2 and the basis for setting prices in 2017.

The information disclosure regime for airports

- 12 Christchurch Airport is required by the Act to publish information disclosure reports. This requirement was legislated in 2008 and came into effect in 2011.
- 13 The purpose of information disclosure is to ensure that sufficient information is available to interested persons to assess whether outcomes are being promoted that are consistent with outcomes produced in competitive markets. In particular, the regime enables our stakeholders to assess our financial and non-financial performance at a point in time and to build up a picture of our performance over time.
- 14 Christchurch Airport is required to make the following disclosures:
 - 14.1 Annual Disclosures relating to our financial information and to the quality of our specified airport services, after the end of each disclosure year; and
 - 14.2 Price Setting Event (**PSE**) Disclosures relating to our decision to fix or alter the price of a specified airport service (typically every 5 years).
- 15 Thus far, Christchurch Airport has made a range of disclosures under the information disclosure regime, including most recently:
 - 15.1 a PSE Disclosure (19 December 2012) following our price reset decision of 24 October 2012 to set prices for the period from 1 December 2012 to 30 June 2017, and
 - 15.2 an Annual Disclosure for the year ending 30 June 2013 (made on 30 November 2013) disclosing actual performance against the first year of the PSE2 price reset.
- 16 Christchurch Airport is committed to an effective information disclosure regime and welcomes the additional scrutiny the new regime invites. Our objective is to ensure that all of our stakeholders have a good understanding of all facets of our operations, the market we operate in, our long-term objectives and our actual performance over time. We believe that this will contribute to better long-term outcomes for the travelling public, our customer airlines, our shareholders (the Christchurch City Council and the Crown), and other stakeholders.

Our PSE2 Pricing Decision

- 17 On 24 October 2012 we set new charges for our specified airport services for the period 1 December 2012 to 30 June 2017 (our **PSE2 Pricing Decision**). We made this decision after a lengthy consultation with our substantial airline customers, which began with the release of a pricing proposal on 12 March 2012.
- 18 Our PSE2 charges begin our recovery of the investment in the new ITP. The ITP addresses the reduction in service levels which was experienced both by the airlines and the travelling public in the old domestic terminal (built in 1960) as a result of progressive growth in passenger numbers, and the ITP development involved extensive consultation with our airline customers. It provides a fit-for-purpose terminal that will meet growing passenger and aircraft movements, accommodates modern passenger processing technologies, and brings our services and facilities up to international best practice standards.

- 19 As explained in our PSE2 Pricing Decision, the approach taken in setting prices for the period to 30 June 2017 was based on a long-run levelised price path. This was designed to ensure economic returns were achieved over the life cycle of the asset and to avoid price shocks for our customers between price reset periods.
- 20 The price path results in lower prices in the period after the ITP investment than would otherwise be the case had Christchurch Airport followed a traditional price reset approach, and is a key feature of Christchurch Airport's commitment to stimulating air services demand and tourism activity for Christchurch and the wider South Island. Essentially, the long-run price path recognises the need to reconcile major investment cycles with the shorter duration of price cycles, by smoothing the effects of the investment over the long-term.
- In addition, our PSE2 prices incorporated a transitional glide path up to the long-run price level. We took this approach because we recognised that the effects of the global financial crisis and the Canterbury earthquakes were impacting all of our stakeholders. Our decision to set a glide path rather than move straight to the long-run price level resulted in a substantial permanent under-recovery to Christchurch Airport in the order of \$16 million. This under-recovery will reduce costs for the airlines and the travelling public during the period to 30 June 2017.

The Commerce Commission Final Report

- 22 Under section 56G of the Act, the Commission is tasked with reviewing airport pricing decisions to determine how effectively information disclosure is promoting outcomes consistent with those produced in a competitive market, such that regulated airport companies:
 - 22.1 innovate and invest, including in replacement, upgraded, and new assets;
 - 22.2 improve efficiency and provide services at a quality that reflects consumer demands;
 - 22.3 share efficiency gains with consumers; and
 - 22.4 are limited in their ability to extract excessive profits.¹
- 23 In reporting on the effectiveness of information disclosure regulation in relation to Christchurch Airport, the Commission agreed with Christchurch Airport that it was efficient to adopt a levelised price path. In particular the Commission acknowledged the following in its Final Section 56G Report on Christchurch Airport:²

...Christchurch Airport's reason for wanting to establish a levelised price path over multiple price setting periods is understandable. The commissioning of the new integrated terminal will result in a significant increase in the value of Christchurch Airport's asset base, at a time when the expected utilisation of the terminal will be relatively low. Christchurch Airport has explained that the approach avoids price shocks and provides more stable cash flows for both Christchurch Airport and the airlines.

This levelised pricing approach reflects efficient pricing principles and is conceptually easy to understand...

¹ Commerce Act 1986, section 52A.

² Commerce Commission *Final Section 56G Report on Christchurch Airport* (13 February 2014) at paras [E13] and [E14].

- 24 However the Commission noted several concerns with the transparency of the way we reported our new prices in our disclosures. The Commission stated it had:
 - 24.1 a concern with our use of a standard straight line depreciation method and a preference for a method that calculates the depreciation implied by the long-run price level; and
 - 24.2 a concern with our implementation of the methodology on a pre-tax basis and a preference for a post-tax approach.
- 25 The Commission's view, which we accept, is that these changes would provide greater transparency as to the returns earned during the pricing period. The changes better enable stakeholders to track the recovery of our investment and our asset base each year to 30 June 2017.
- 26 In response, Christchurch Airport committed to re-issue our Pricing Event Disclosure (dated 19 December 2012) and our Annual Disclosure (dated 30 November 2013) for the year ending 30 June 2013 in a way that addressed the Commission's transparency concerns, and to use the revised disclosure methodology for the remainder of PSE2.

Process since the Commission's Final Section 56G Report

- 27 Following the Commission's Final Section 56G Report on Christchurch Airport (dated 13 February 2014) Christchurch Airport engaged Incenta to advise on a methodology that responded to the Commission's transparency concerns. Incenta produced a report presenting an implied depreciation methodology which identifies the return of capital implied in the levelised price path during the period to 30 June 2017.³ The report also explains how this methodology flows through to disclosed returns and the disclosed asset base (*Incenta Report*).
- 28 On 6 June we sent a copy of the Incenta Report to our stakeholders. We also provided a fully worked up spread sheet model showing how the methodology is applied.
- 29 On 1 July we held a workshop in Wellington with our stakeholders to provide an overview of the Incenta Report and to tease out areas where our stakeholders sought more information or explanation. The workshop was attended by representatives from Air New Zealand, BARNZ, the Commerce Commission, the Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment and the Ministry of Transport.
- 30 The discussion at the workshop was valuable in identifying areas where more explanation would be helpful. On 8 August we sent a further Incenta memorandum to our stakeholders, responding to questions that were raised by them during the workshop (*Incenta Response*).
- 31 Feedback was received from BARNZ on 22 August, including a report from its expert adviser Covec, on both the Incenta Report and the Incenta Response. Feedback from Air New Zealand was also received endorsing the comments made by BARNZ. We have now considered the feedback and finalised our revised disclosure methodology. Incenta has produced a finalised report that pulls together the previous material and the consideration of the BARNZ/Covec feedback (*Final Incenta Report*). The Final Incenta Report is attached.

³ The methodology recommended by Incenta is consistent with the high level guidance given by the Commission in its Final Report (see footnote 178).

- 32 We have used the methodology explained in the Final Incenta Report to prepare recasted disclosures of our Pricing Event Disclosure (dated 19 December 2012) and our Annual Disclosure (dated 30 November 2013). We will use that same methodology as the basis for our upcoming regulatory disclosures during the balance of the pricing period (through to 30 June 2017).
- 33 Our PSE2 prices will not change as a result of our revised disclosure methodology.

The revised disclosure methodology

- 34 The revised disclosure methodology used in the Voluntary Disclosures is explained in full in the Final Incenta Report, but we set out they key ways in which the methodology addresses the Commission's transparency concerns, below.
- 35 First of all, the revised disclosure methodology moves from a standard straight line depreciation method to one that calculates the depreciation implied by the long-run price path.
- 36 Second, the revised disclosure methodology shifts from a pre to a post-tax WACC (as preferred by the Commission and our airline customers), and uses estimates of actual tax to be paid during PSE2. The implied depreciation is calculated consistent with these adjustments.
- 37 These changes mean our Voluntary Disclosures enable our stakeholders to identify:
 - 37.1 how much of our investment is recovered during the period to 30 June 2017 (the end of PSE2); and
 - 37.2 the closing RAB at the end of PSE2 that is consistent with our PSE2 prices and our recovery of investment.
- 38 The Final Incenta Report explains that it will be a simple exercise to derive from this closing RAB the opening asset base on which prices will be reset from July 2017. Specific adjustments to the closing RAB will be needed to accommodate the fact that:
 - 38.1 The regulatory asset base required by the disclosure regulations is the total asset base used to provide all regulated activities. Only some of these regulated activities are the subject of the price resetting decision, and so a subset of the regulatory asset base must be identified when resetting prices;
 - 38.2 In our 2012 pricing decision we agreed to omit from the pricing asset base a large area of land used to provide the regulated services that are subject to the price reset. If that continues in 2017 a further adjustment to the regulatory asset base will be required to identify the pricing asset base;
 - 38.3 The valuation of land that was used to set prices in the 2012 price decision (and that was therefore used in the calculation of the revaluation gains that were rebated to customers in the PSE2 period) is slightly older than the land valuation that informs the regulatory asset base for disclosure purposes (the former revaluation was as at 31 December 2011 and the latter was as at 30 June 2013). It follows that the appropriate RAB for land for pricing purposes will be slightly different to the RAB for land for disclosure purposes.

It is intended that these values be realigned from the start of the PSE3 period, which will require an adjustment to prices over the PSE3 period (if the pricing asset base is increased to align it with the disclosure asset base, then the benefit of the associated revaluation gain will be rebated over the PSE3 period, repeating CIAL's approach to the pre-PSE2 revaluation gains).

- 39 It is worth reiterating that between now and 30 June 2017 the changes presented by the revised disclosure methodology do not affect our prices. Rather, they respond to the Commission's concerns to improve the transparency of our disclosures in particular, by making it easier to identify how much of our investment is recovered during the period to 30 June 2017, the return on investment achieved over the PSE2 period, and how much of our investment remains to be recovered in the future.
- 40 As mentioned, the revised disclosure methodology also means that when Christchurch Airport and its airline customers come to discuss the reset of prices in 2017, there will be clarity as to the asset base to be used as the opening asset base for setting future prices.
- The Commission's Final Section 56G Report raised some questions as to gaps in our 20 year pricing model (specifically, forecast capital expenditure after 30 June 2017 and inflation after 2022, and detailed forecasts after 2022), which we will address when consulting on our prices to apply from 1 July 2017.

What the Voluntary Disclosures show: PSE Disclosure

- 42 The table below illustrates the change in how, under the revised pricing methodology, we report the recovery of our investment during the period to 30 June 2017 for our services covered by the PSE2 price reset.
- 43 The table shows at an aggregate level both:
 - 43.1 the implied depreciation calculated by Incenta (which we use in the Voluntary Disclosures and will use for the remainder of the period to 30 June 2017); and

Item	2013 (7 mths)	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
Straight Line Depreciation	8.04	14.59	15.00	15.58	15.96	69.17
Implied Depreciation	10.83	14.26	16.20	17.29	18.99	77.57
Difference	2.79	-0.33	1.20	1.71	3.03	8.40

43.2 the straight line depreciation used in our previous disclosures.

44 The implied depreciation is an economically accurate estimate of the recovery of our investment. This allows stakeholders to better assess our forecast level of returns during PSE2.

Rate of Return

45 The shift to implied depreciation and a post-tax approach allows stakeholders to better assess the returns being achieved for PSE2. The table below shows our forecast returns for the PSE2 pricing period (1 December 2012 to 30 June 2017) using the implied depreciation calculated by Incenta, as compared to the returns which were forecast using a straight line depreciation method (taken from the Commission's Final Section 56G Report).

Item	Straight line	e depreciation	Implied Depreciation			
	PSE2 Reset	Total Specified Activities	PSE2 Reset	Total Specified Activities		
IRR	7.04%	6.84%	6.65%	6.68%		
Difference			-0.39%	-0.16%		

Issues for our 2017 price reset

- 46 During the engagement process with stakeholders some issues were raised that go to how we may set prices after 30 June 2017, rather than to improvements in our disclosures between now and then.
- 47 Those issues include:
 - 47.1 whether we continue to use a levelised price path, and if so for what parts of our business and over what timeframe;
 - 47.2 the level of the target WACC;
 - 47.3 the detail of our operating and capital expenditure and volume forecasts beyond 30 June 2017; and
 - 47.4 the treatment of un-forecast CPI revaluations.
- 48 In addition, the Commission raised some questions as to the longer term detail and approach taken in our 20 year pricing model.
- 49 Christchurch Airport has noted our stakeholders concerns and is committed to addressing them, together with the Commission's modelling concerns, when we consult on the prices to apply from 1 July 2017.

What the Voluntary Disclosures show: Annual Disclosure for period ending 30 June 2013

50 We have revised the Annual Disclosure for the year ended 30 June 2013, incorporating the implied depreciation calculated by Incenta.

Item	Deprecia	ation \$m	Closing RAB	30 June 2013 \$m
	Straight Line	Implied	Initial	Voluntary
Initial Disclosure	\$19.862		\$485.887	
Voluntary Disclosure		\$21.138		\$484.611
Difference		+\$1.276		-\$1.276

51 The table below details the change that results from using implied depreciation.

52 This 2012/2013 year is unusual, in that our adoption of the new long-run levelised prices occurred part way through the year. For this reason the disclosure uses the combination of straight line depreciation for the period July to November 2013 plus the implied depreciation for the price reset period 1 December 2012 to 30 June 2013.

		\$'0	00	
ltem	2011	2012	20	13
			Original	Revised
Regulatory Profit	18,884	7,517	8,488	7,213
Adjusted Regulatory Profit	17,873	6,386	7,522	6,247
Regulatory Investment value	315,328	404,058	428,960	428,960
ROI - comparable to post tax WACC	5.67%	1.58%	1.75%	1.46%
Post Tax WACC	8.06%	7.56%	6.49%	6.49%

53 The table below shows our revised return for the 30 June 2013 year.

- 54 Note this disclosure relates to all "specified" (i.e. regulated) airport activities, as required by the information disclosure regulations. This combines activities covered by the PSE2 price reset together with other regulated activities not included in the PSE2 price reset.
- 55 The adoption of the implied depreciation methodology influences the Regulatory Profit. This results in a revision to ROI comparable to the target post tax WACC of 6.49%, decreasing from the original result of 1.75% to 1.46%, reflecting the changes arising from the return of capital implied in the setting of a levelised price path from 1 December 2012.

What is attached

- 56 The following information is attached:
 - 56.1 the Final Incenta Report;
 - 56.2 a copy of Incenta's implied depreciation methodology model; and
 - 56.3 the Voluntary Disclosures for PSE2 Period to 30 June 2017 and the Annual Disclosure for the Year ended 30 June 2013.

COMMERCE COMMISSION NEW ZEALAND	
Tidy cursor position and sheet scaling Set sheet	et protection Remove sheet protection
Specified Airport Services Inform Information for Schedules	Templates
Company Name	Christchurch International Airport Ltd
Original Disclosure Date	19 December 2012
Supplementary Disclosure Date	28 November 2014
Pricing Period Starting Year (year ended)	30 June 2013
Disclosure year of most recent annual disclosure (year ended) ¹	30 June 2012
This Supplementary Disclosure has been made in response to conc the release of their Section 56G Review Report of the 1 December impacted Schedule 18 only, no changes hav	2012 Price Reset decision. The changes made have
Templates for Schedules 18–19 (Disclos Version 2.0. Prepared	

Table	e of Contents
Schedule 18 19	Description <u>REPORT ON THE FORECAST TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS</u> <u>REPORT ON DEMAND FORECASTS</u>

Disclosure Template Guidelines for Information Entry

Templates

The templates contained in this workbook are intended to reflect the specified airport disclosure requirements set out in Schedules 18–19 of Commerce Commission decision 715 (Commerce Act (Specified Airport Services Information Disclosure) Determination 2010).

Data entry cells and calculated cells

Data entred into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell.

In some cases, where the information for disclosure is able to be ascertained from disclosures elsewhere in the workbook, such information is disclosed in a calculated cell. Under no circumstances should the formulas in a calculated cell be overwritten. All cells that are not data entry cells may be locked using worksheet protection to ensure they are not overwritten.

Validation settings on data entry cells

To maintain a consistency of format and to guard against errors in data entry, some data entry cells test entries for validity and accept only a limited range of values. For example, entries may be limited to a list of category names or to values between 0% and 100%.

Data entry cells for text entries

Data input cells that display the data validation input message "Short text entry cell" have a maximum text length of 253 characters. Because of page layout constraints, this text length is unlikely to be approached. The amount of text that may be entered in the comment boxes is restricted only by the capacity of the spreadsheet program and page layout constraints. Should a comment box within a template be inadequate to fully present the disclosed comments, comments may be continued outside the template. The comment box must then contain a reference to identify where in the disclosure the comment is continued.

Row widths can be adjusted to increase the viewable size of text entries. A paragraph feed may be inserted in an entry cell by holding down both the {alt} and the {shift} keys.

Data entry cells that contain conditional formatting

A limited number of data entry cells may change colour or disappear from view in response to data entries (including date entries) made in the workbook. This feature has been implemented to highlight data being entered that is not internally consistent with other data currently entered, and to hide data entry cells for conditionally disclosed information when the determination does not require the data be disclosed.

a) Internal consistency checks

To assist with data entry, the shading of the following data entry cells will change if the cell content becomes inconsistent with data elsewhere in the template: Internal consistency checking is not applied in Schedules 18–19.

b) Conditionally disclosed information

The determination allows in some circumstances that data do not need to be disclosed. Accordingly, the following cells are conditionally formatted to disappear from view (the borders are removed and the interior of the cells takes on the colour of the template background) in some circumstances:

Schedule 18, cells D58:D64, D67:D70.

In schedule 18, the column D cells listed above (in the clause b(i) asset base roll-forward and the clause b(ii) works under construction roll-forward disclosures) disappear if the determination does not require Part 4 disclosure in respect of year CY – 1 (i.e., if an annual discluse under Part 4 has been made for the disclosure year that occurred immediately prior to the price setting event).

	Overview of the methodology used to determine the revenue requirement					
1	Refer to Section 2.1					
	(\$000) for year ende	Pricing Period Starting Year 30 Jun 13	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1 30 Jun 14	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2 30 Jun 15	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3 30 Jun 16	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4 30 Jun 17
	Forecast value of assets employed	492,089	506,611	508,394	509,294	509,565
	Forecast cost of capital	9.76%	9.76%	9.76%	9.76%	9.76%
	Forecast return on assets employed	48,023	49,441	49,615	49,702	49,729
plus	Forecast operational expenditure	26,858	28,703	29,274	29,976	30,623
plus	Forecast depreciation	20,042	17,651	19,563	20,687	22,576
plus	Forecast tax	3,321	6,236	9,163	11,411	11,467
plus (less)	Forecast revaluations	(10,090)	(10,586)	(10,692)	(10,660)	(10,730)
less	Forecast other income	87	89	91	93	95
plus (less)	Other factors	(28,927)	(18,140)	(13,595)	(9,560)	(9,507)
	Forecast total revenue requirement	59,140	73,216	83,237	91,463	94,063
less	Revenue requirement not applicable to price setting event	10,028	10,238	10,453	10,673	10,896
plus (less)	Revenue smoothing adjustment	-	-	-	-	-
	Forecast revenue for services applicable to price setting event	49,112	62,978	72,784	80,790	83,167
	Forecast total revenue requirement for the following regulated activities					
	Airfield activities	24,923	30,354	35,234	39,734	40,969
	Aircraft and freight activities	3.912	3,995	4.079	4,164	4,252
	Specified passenger terminal activities	30,305	38,867	43,924	47,565	48,842

		F	Pricing Perio	U U	ited Airport 'ear Ended		shurch Intern 30 June 2013	
	EDULE 18: FORECAST TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS (cont)						
f 53 54	Version 2.0 Year of most recent annual disclosure (year ended)	30 June 2012						
		Pricing Period Starting Year			Pricing Period Starting Year			
55 56	(\$000) for year en	- 1 * nded	Starting Year 30 Jun 13	+ 1 30 Jun 14	+ 2 30 Jun 15	+ 3 30 Jun 16	+ 4 30 Jun 17	
57	18b(i): Forecast Asset Base							
58	Forecast asset base previous year	396,690	480,103	504,075	509,147	507,642	510,946	
58 59	less Forecast depreciation	18.967	20.042	17.651	19,563	20.687	22,576	
60	plus Forecast revaluations	3,739	10,090	10,586	10,692	10,660	10,730	
61	plus Assets commissioned	30,567	33,924	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083	
62	less Asset disposals	1,684	_		-	-	-	
63	plus (less) Forecast adjustment resulting from cost allocation	(1,352)		-	-	-	-	
64	Forecast asset base	408,993	504,075	509,147	507,642	510,946	508,183	
65		-						
			504,075					
66	18b(ii): Forecast Works Under Construction		1 0	·				
67	Works under construction—previous year	35,921						
68	plus Capital expenditure	30,273	33,924	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083	
69	less Assets commissioned	30,567	33,924	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083	
70	Works under construction	35,627	-	-	-	-	-	

SCH	EDULE 18: FORECAST TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS (cont 2)				Р	ricing Perio	Regulate d Starting Ye	ed Airport ear Ended			ch International Airport Ltd une 2013	
	Version 2.0											
79	18b(iii): Forecast Capital Expenditure		Pricing	Pricing	Pricing	Pricing		Pricing	Pricing	Pricing		
80	(\$000) S	Pricing Period tarting Year	Period Starting Year + 1	Period	Period	Period	Pricing Period Starting Year + 5	Period	Period Starting Year + 7	Period	Pricing Period Starting Year + 9	Total
80		30 Jun 13	30 Jun 14	+ 2 30 Jun 15	+ 3 30 Jun 16	30 Jun 17	30 Jun 18	30 Jun 19	30 Jun 20	30 Jun 21	+ 9 30 Jun 22	TOtal
82	Capital Expenditure by Category											
83	Capacity growth				5,916					5,916	10,000	
84	Asset replacement and renewal	33,924	12,137	7,366	7,415	9,083	7,064	8,017	8,309	8,444	9,394	
85	Total capital expenditure	33,924	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083	7,064	8,017	8,309	14,360	19,394	
86	Capital Expenditure by Key Capital Expenditure Project							-				
87	Airfield Pavement Maintenance Works	6,400	6,700	5,400	5,000	6,300	4,000	5,500	5,500	6,000	6,700	57,500
88	Apron / Taxiway Remediation	18,675										18,675
89	Pound Road Realignment and RESA	4,890										4,890
90	Phase 3a – Regional Stands, Hangar 4 Removed		3,130									3,130
91	Motor vehicles								1,500			1,500
92	Runway Extensions										10,000	10,000
93	Terminal lighting upgrade	500										500
94	Disaster Recovery & High Availability					500						500
95	Full Airside screening						500					500
96	Asset Management System Upgrade							500				500
97	Disaster Recovery & High Availability									600		600
98	Asset Management System Upgrade										700	700
99	International Stand Optimisation				5,916					5,916		11,832
116	Other Reg Services	367										367
117	Other capital expenditure	3,092	2,307	1,966	2,415	2,283	2,564	2,017	1,309	1,844	1,994	21,791
118	Total Capital Expenditure	33,924	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083	7,064	8,017	8,309	14,360	19,394	132,985
119												Page 3

		Pricing Period 3		ed Airport ear Ended			national Airp ne 2013	
111	E 18: FORECAST TOTAL REVENUE REQUIREME	° °	U					
ersion								
	Basis for Cost Allocation							
	Refer to section 2.2.4 and appendix K							
	An explanation of where and why disclosures differ from the cost-alloca	tion Input Methodology and/or, where	e costs are shared	l between regulated	and non-regulated a	ssets, an explanation	n of the basis for that a	allocation.
	Key Capital Expenditure Projects—Consumer Der	nands Assessment						
	Refer to section 2.4.3							
	An explanation of how consumer demands have been assessed and in	corporated for each reported project	and the degree to	which consumers a	gree with project sco	pe, timing and cost.		
106/		corporated for each reported project	and the degree to	which consumers a	gree with project sco	pe, timing and cost.		
18b(An explanation of how consumer demands have been assessed and in iv) FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE	corporated for each reported project	and the degree to	which consumers a	gree with project sco	pe, timing and cost.		
18b(corporated for each reported project	Ĩ	Pricing	Pricing	Pricing	Pricing	
18b(corporated for each reported project	Pricing	Pricing Period	Pricing Period	Pricing Period	Pricing Period	
18b(iv) FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE		Pricing Period	Pricing Period Starting Year	Pricing Period Starting Year	Pricing Period Starting Year	Pricing Period Starting Year	
18b(s	Pricing Period Starting Year	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4	
18b(iv) FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE	s	Pricing Period Starting Year 30 Jun 13	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1 30 Jun 14	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2 30 Jun 15	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3 30 Jun 16	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4 30 Jun 17	
18b(iv) FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE (\$000) Corporate overheads	s	Pricing Period Starting Year 30 Jun 13 8,132	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1 30 Jun 14 8,691	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2 30 Jun 15 8,864	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3 30 Jun 16 9,076	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4 30 Jun 17 9,272	
18b(iv) FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE	s	Pricing Period Starting Year 30 Jun 13 8,132 16,672	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1 30 Jun 14 8,691 17,817	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2 30 Jun 15 8,864 18,171	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3 30 Jun 16 9,076 18,607	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4 30 Jun 17 9,272 19,009	
18b(iv) FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE (\$000) Corporate overheads Asset management and airport operations	s	Pricing Period Starting Year 30 Jun 13 8,132	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1 30 Jun 14 8,691	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2 30 Jun 15 8,864	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3 30 Jun 16 9,076	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4 30 Jun 17 9,272	

	DULE 19: REPORT C	ON DEMAND FOREC	ASTS			Pri	cing Period	Regulat I Starting Ye	ed Airport ear Ended	Christc		national Air ne 2013	port Ltd
6 1 7	I9a: Passenger termi	inal demand (000)		Pricing Period Starting Year	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4	Pricing Period Starting Year + 5	Pricing Period Starting Year + 6	Pricing Period Starting Year + 7	Pricing Period Starting Year + 8	Pricing Period Starting Year + 9
8		()	for year ended	30 Jun 13	30 Jun 14	30 Jun 15	30 Jun 16	30 Jun 17	30 Jun 18	30 Jun 19	30 Jun 20	30 Jun 21	30 Jun 22
9	Busy hour passenger	Inbound passengers	Domestic	860	860	860	880	880	900	900	900	920	920
10	numbers		International	840	940	1,000	1,020	1,040	1,060	1,080	1,100	1,120	1,140
11			Combined *	1,400	1,460	1,520	1,540	1,540	1,560	1,580	1,580	1,580	1,600
12													
13		Outbound passengers	Domestic	880	880	900	900	920	920	920	940	940	960
14			International	820	900	980	1,000	1,000	1,020	1,040	1,060	1,080	1,080
15			Combined *	1,260	1,380	1,440	1,440	1,460	1,460	1,480	1,480	1,480	1,500
16				* No disclosure	of combined termina	l forecasts is requir	ed for airports with i	no shared passenge	er terminal functiona	al components.			
17	Number of passengers	Inbound passengers	Domestic	2,040,844	2,081,478	2,133,324	2,186,927	2,241,522	2,297,425	2,353,577	2,414,211	2,461,926	2,511,302
18	during year		International	679,673	730,543	803,408	827,404	852,234	877,810	904,043	931,258	959,134	987,662
19			Total	2,720,517	2,812,021	2,936,732	3,014,331	3,093,756	3,175,235	3,257,620	3,345,469	3,421,060	3,498,964
20													
21		Outbound passengers	Domestic	2,072,528	2,114,162	2,167,207	2,221,117	2,276,723	2,333,777	2,393,404	2,451,445	2,501,043	2,550,927
22			International	675,888	726,685	799,543	823,635	848,336	873,777	900,091	927,001	954,872	983,765
23			Total	2,748,416	2,840,847	2,966,750	3,044,752	3,125,059	3,207,554	3,293,495	3,378,446	3,455,915	3,534,692
24													
25		International transit and t	ransfer passengers ⁺	<u> </u>		_	_		_	_	_	_	
26 27				[†] NB. Forecasts	s of international tran	sit and transfer pas	senger numbers rel	late only to airports w	with extant or plann	ed international tran	sit and transfer faci	lities	Page 5

				Pri	cing Period	Starting Ye	ed Airport ear Ended			national Airp ne 2013	
D. Alician huliway	/ Movements (000)	Pricing Period Starting Year	Pricing Period Starting Year + 1	Pricing Period Starting Year + 2	Pricing Period Starting Year + 3	Pricing Period Starting Year + 4	Pricing Period Starting Year + 5	Pricing Period Starting Year + 6	Pricing Period Starting Year + 7	Pricing Period Starting Year + 8	Pricing Period Starting Ye + 9
		r	30 Jun 14	30 Jun 15	30 Jun 16	30 Jun 17	30 Jun 18	30 Jun 19	30 Jun 20	30 Jun 21	30 Jun 2
	During the runway busy hour	24		-					-		1
number of aircraft)	During the runway busy day	228	233	235	237	239	241	243	246	248	2
Landings during year	Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more	17,284	16,990	17,289	17,535	17,705	17,848	17,924	18,200	18,394	18,8
	Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW	21,054	22,186	22,211	22,348	22,523	22,666	22,861	23,090	23,199	23,6
aircraft)	Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,5
	Total	49,911	50,749	51,073	51,456	51,801	52,087	52,358	52,863	53,166	54,1
Landings during year	Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more	1,402,917	1,428,650	1,454,464	1,485,651	1,500,935	1.521.582	1.536.582	1.565,264	1,580,497	1,624,0
(total MCTOW in	Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW	410,571	436,002	436,526	439,389	443,312	446,374	450,648	455,449	457,899	467,7
tonnes)	Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,924	182,9
	Total	1,996,412	2,047,576	2,073,914	2,107,964	2,127,171	2,150,880	2,170,154	2,203,637	2,221,320	2,274,7
Landings during year	Air passenger services—international	4.977	4.977	5.237	5,422	5.614	5.718	5.834	6.046	6.238	6.4
(total number of		33,309	34,147	34,211	34,409	34,562	34,744	34,899	35,192	35,303	36.0
aircraft)	Other aircraft	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,573	11,5
Landings during vear	Air passenger services—international	568 133	568 133	588 444	615 238	632 107	649 946	667 825	691 900	706 989	734,0
(total MCTOW in											1.356.4
tonnes)		1 1	1 1 -	1	1	11	1 1	11	1- 1 -	1	182,9
	Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Landings during year (total MCTOW in connes) Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Landings during year	Movements during busy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Total Landings during year (total MCTOW in toonnes) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Total Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Total Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic Other aircraft Landings during year (total MCTOW in (total MCTOW in Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic	(000)Starting Year 30 Jun 13Movements during pusy period (total number of aircraft)During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day24 228Landings during year (total number of aircraft)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW Total17,284 21,054 21,054 21,054Landings during year (total NCTOW in connes)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 2 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic568,133 1,244,004Landings during year total MCTOW in onnesAir passenger services—domestic1,244,004Air passenger services—domestic1,244,0041,244,004	(000)Starting Year tor year ended+ 1 30 Jun 13Movements during pusy period (total number of aircraft)During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day2425228233Landings during year (total number of aircraft)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW Total17,28416,990 21,054Landings during year (total number of aircraft)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes MCTOW Total11,57311,573 49,911Landings during year (total MCTOW in connes)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW 11,62,9171,428,650 410,571Landings during year aircraftAir passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic aircraft4,977 33,309 33,3094,147 31,1573Landings during year aircraftAir passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic aircraft568,133 1,244,004568,133 1,244,004	(000)Starting Year tor year ended+1+2Wovements during pusy period (total number of aircraft)During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day242525228223233235Landings during year (total number of aircraft)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW Total17,28416,99017,289Landings during year (total number of aircraft)Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes MCTOW Total1,402,9171,428,6501,454,464Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 total MCTOW in totalAircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW1,402,9171,428,6501,454,464Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW1,402,9171,428,6501,454,464Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW1,402,9171,428,6501,454,464Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 tess than 3 tonnes MCTOW1,996,4122,047,5762,073,914Landings during year aircraftAir passenger services—international Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic568,133568,133588,444Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—d	(000) Starting Year 30 Jun 13 +1 +2 +3 Movements during busy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 24 25 25 25 25 Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW Total 17,284 16,990 17,289 17,535 Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes MCTOW Total 1,402,917 1,428,650 1,454,464 1,485,651 Landings during year (total MCTOW in connes) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Total 1,402,917 1,428,650 1,454,464 1,485,651 Landings during year (total MCTOW in connes) Air passenger services—international Air craft 3 tonnes MCTOW 1,996,412 2,047,576 2,073,914 2,107,964 Landings during year (aircraft) Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—international (total MCTOW in cornes) 4,977 4,977 5,237 5,422 Landings during year (total MCTOW in cornes) Air passenger services—international Air passenger services—domestic 568,133 5	(000) Starting Year for year ended +1 +2 +3 +4 Wovements during busy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 233 233 233 233 233 233 235 237 239 Landings during year (total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW 17,284 16,990 17,289 17,535 17,705 Aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW Total Aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW Aircraft 1 less than 3 tonnes MCTOW 11,573	(000) Starting Year + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 Movements during pusy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 24 25	(00) Starting Year for year ended + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 Wovements during busy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 224 225 <th>(00) Starting Year +1 30 +4 +5 +6 +7 Movements during pusy perid (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour 2<th>(000) Starting Year for year ended + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 0 0 Movements during pusy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 228 223 223 223 233 235 237 239 241 243 246 248 andings during year total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW 17,284 16,990 17,289 17,535 17,705 17,848 17,924 18,200 18,394 andings during year total number of aircraft 10 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW 11,573</th></th>	(00) Starting Year +1 30 +4 +5 +6 +7 Movements during pusy perid (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour 2 <th>(000) Starting Year for year ended + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 0 0 Movements during pusy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 228 223 223 223 233 235 237 239 241 243 246 248 andings during year total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW 17,284 16,990 17,289 17,535 17,705 17,848 17,924 18,200 18,394 andings during year total number of aircraft 10 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW 11,573</th>	(000) Starting Year for year ended + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 0 0 Movements during pusy period (total number of aircraft) During the runway busy hour During the runway busy day 228 223 223 223 233 235 237 239 241 243 246 248 andings during year total number of aircraft) Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW 17,284 16,990 17,289 17,535 17,705 17,848 17,924 18,200 18,394 andings during year total number of aircraft 10 tonnes MCTOW or more Aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW 11,573



Schedule	Description
1	REPORT ON RETURN ON INVESTMENT
2	REPORT ON THE REGULATORY PROFIT
3	REPORT ON THE REGULATORY TAX ALLOWANCE
4	REPORT ON REGULATORY ASSET BASE ROLL FORWARD
5	REPORT ON RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS
6	REPORT ON ACTUAL TO FORECAST EXPENDITURE
7	REPORT ON SEGMENTED INFORMATION
8	CONSOLIDATION STATEMENT
9	REPORT ON ASSET ALLOCATIONS
10	REPORT ON COST ALLOCATIONS
11	REPORT ON RELIABILITY MEASURES
12	REPORT ON CAPACITY UTILISATION INDICATORS FOR AIRCRAFT AND FREIGHT ACTIVITIES AND AIRFIELD ACTIVITI
13	REPORT ON CAPACITY UTILISATION INDICATORS FOR SPECIFIED PASSENGER TERMINAL ACTIVITIES
14	REPORT ON PASSENGER SATISFACTION INDICATORS
15	REPORT ON OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENT PROCESSES
16	REPORT ON ASSOCIATED STATISTICS
17	REPORT ON PRICING STATISTICS

Disclosure	Template	Guidelines	for	Information	Entry

Internal consistency check

Templates

The templates contained in this workbook are intended to reflect the specified airoort disclosure requirements set out in Schedules 1-17 inclusive and Schedule 23 of Commerce Commission decision 715 (Commerce Act (Specified Airport Services Information Disclosure) Determination 2010).

Data entry cells and calculated cells

Data entered into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell.

In some cases, where the information for disclosure is able to be ascertained from disclosures elsewhere in the workbook, such information is disclosed in a calculated cell. Under no circumstances should the formulas in a calculated cell be overwritten. All cells that are not data entry cells may be locked using worksheet protection to ensure they are not overwritten.

Validation settings on data entry cells

To maintain a consistency of format and to guard against errors in data entry, some data entry cells test entries for validity and accept only a limited range of values. For example, entries may be limited to a list of category names or to values between 0% and 100%.

Data entry cells for text entries

Data input cells that display the data validation input message "Short text entry cell" have a maximum text length of 253 characters. Because of page layout constraints, this text length is unlikely to be approached. The amount of text that may be entered in the comment boxes is restricted only by the capacity of the spreadsheet program and page layout constraints. Should a comment box within a template be inadequate to fully present the disclosed comments, comments may be continued outside the template. The comment box must then contain a reference to identify where in the disclosure the comment is continued.

Row widths can be adjusted to increase the viewable size of text entries.

OK

A paragraph feed may be inserted in an entry cell by holding down both the {alt} and the {shift} keys.

Data entry cells that contain conditional formatting

A limited number of data entry cells may change colour or disappear from view in response to data entries (including date entries) made in the workbook. This feature has been implemented to highlight data being entered that is not internally consistent with other data currently entered, and to hide data entry cells for conditionally disclosed information when the determination does not require the data be disclosed.

a) Internal consistency checks

To assist with data entry, the shading of the following data entry cells will change if the cell content becomes inconsistent with data elsewhere in the template: Schedule 4, cells N110:N118, J30;

Schedule 7, cells K8:K14, K16:K18, K20, K22, K24, K26, K28, K30, K32,

Should such inconsistency be identified, the shading of the internal consistency check cell C4 at the top of the Guidelines worksheet will also change and the check cell will show "Error" instead of "OK".

b) Conditionally disclosed information

The determination allows in some circumstances that data do not need to be disclosed. Accordingly, the following cells are conditionally formatted to disappear from view (the borders are removed and the interior of the cells takes on the colour of the template background) in some circumstances:

Schedule 1, cells F9;F12, F14;F15, F17;F18, G9;G12, G14;G15, G17;G18;

In schedule 1, the column F cells listed above disappear if the determination does not require Part 4 disclosure in respect of year CY - 2 (CY is the current disclosure year). Similarly, the column G cells disappear if disclosure in not required in respect of year CY - 1.

Schedule 6 comparison of actual and forecast expenditures

Clause 6a of schedule 6 compares actual expenditures with expenditures forecast in respect of the most recent price setting event.

The calculated cells G10:G11, G14:G16, G19:G28 determine, from clause 6b, the forecast expenditure for the current disclosure year.

The calculated cells M10:M11, M14:M16, M19:M28 determine, from clause 6b, the forecast expenditure to date. The formulas in the calculated cells assume that the current disclosure falls within the five year pricing period. Cell C65 notes which of the pricing period years disclosed in clause 6b coincides with the current disclosure year.

	For Year Er		30 June 20)13	
	ULE 1: REPORT ON RETURN ON INVESTMEN ion 2.0	т			
	Return on Investment	(\$00	0 unless otherwis	se specified)	
ia:	Return on investment				
		CY-2 *	CY-1 *	Current Yea	ar C
Re	turn on Investment (ROI)	30 Jun 11	30 Jun 12	30 Jun 1	3
	Regulatory profit / (loss)	18,88	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7,21
less		1,0*		131	96
	Adjusted regulatory profit Regulatory investment value	17,87			6,24
		515,23	404,	420	5,90
	ROI—comparable to a post tax WACC (%)	5.67	% 1.5	58%	.46
	Post tax WACC (%)	8.06	7.5	6%	.49
	ROI—comparable to a vanilla WACC (%)	5.99			.68
	Vanilla WACC (%)	8.40	% 7.8	6	.75
	This report is a Supplementary Voluntary Disclosure inc CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparen The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46	Ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth the supplementary % on the Regulator	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate ther regulated activition PSE2 price reset) ry Investment Value	to the ies is
	 CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%. 	2 Price reset. This was ma ccy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench	Ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr v have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti y PSE2 price reset) y Investment Value marginally below th	to he ies is of
	 CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent. The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised priver revision to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied depreciation by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Communication. 	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46	ade in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate ther regulated activition PSE2 price reset) ry Investment Value	to he ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%.	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in a results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench	Ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti- y PSE2 price reset) ry Investment Value marginally below th 2013	to the ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparen The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value or reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%.	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in a results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench	Ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000 \$7,517	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti y PSE2 price reset) ry Investment Value marginally below th 2013 \$7,213	to the ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparen The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value or reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%. Item Regulatory Profit Adjusted Regulatory Profit	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench 2011 \$18,884 \$17,873	Ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth n the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000 \$7,517 \$6,385	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti y PSE2 price reset) y Investment Value marginally below th 2013 \$7,213 \$6,247	to the ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%. Item Regulatory Profit Adjusted Regulatory Profit Regulatory Investment value	2 Price reset. This was ma ccy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench 10 11 10 18,884 17,873 \$315,238	ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth n the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000 \$7,517 \$6,385 \$404,058	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti y PSE2 price reset) y Investment Value marginally below th 2013 \$7,213 \$6,247 \$428,960	to the ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent. The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%. Item Regulatory Profit Adjusted Regulatory Profit Regulatory Investment value ROI – comparable to post tax WACC	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench 2011 \$18,884 \$17,873 \$315,238 5.67%	ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr v have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth n the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000 \$7,517 \$6,385 \$404,058 1.58%	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate ther her regulated activiti- y PSE2 price reset) ry Investment Value marginally below th 2013 \$7,213 \$6,247 \$428,960 1.46%	to the ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%. Item Regulatory Profit Adjusted Regulatory Profit Regulatory Investment value	2 Price reset. This was ma ccy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench 10 11 10 18,884 17,873 \$315,238	ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth n the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000 \$7,517 \$6,385 \$404,058	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti y PSE2 price reset) y Investment Value marginally below th 2013 \$7,213 \$6,247 \$428,960	to to he ies is of
	CIAL as part of its supplementary disclosure of the PSE: by CIAL to address the concerns raised about transparent. The Disclosure statements have incorporated the value of reflect the "return of capital" implicit in the levelised prior revison to an implied depreciation approach for those act remain on a straight line depreciation basis. Adjusted regulatory profit, (incorporating the implied dper down by \$0.138m or 2.16% in comparison to 2012. This \$428.96m for 2013. This result is well below the Comm 2012 return of 1.58%. Item Regulatory Profit Adjusted Regulatory Profit Regulatory Investment value ROI – comparable to post tax WACC	2 Price reset. This was ma acy of returns reflecting the of implied depreciation as ce path. The returns belo ivities covered by the PSE reciation value disclosed in results in a return of 1.46 nerce Commission bench 11,46 nerce Commission bench 11,46 nerce Commission bench 11,46 nerce Commission bench 14,46 nerce Commission bench 14	ide in response to t levelised price path contained in the Pr w have been adjus 2 Price reset. All oth n the supplementary % on the Regulator nark of 6.49% and 2012 \$'000 \$7,517 \$6,385 \$404,058 1.58% 7.56% in the following sch 2m (6.16%). This is ordingly, commission	the commitment man ice reset disclosure ted to incorporate the her regulated activiti y PSE2 price reset) y Investment Value marginally below th 2013 \$7,213 \$6,247 \$428,960 1.46% 6.49% medules and explained s primarily due to the oned assets have or	de to the ies is of he ed he nly

					T
		Regulated Airpo		h Internationa	
		For Year Ende	d	30 June 2013	
SC	HEDULE 1	: REPORT ON RETURN ON INVESTMENT (d	cont)		
ref	Version 2.0				
55	1b: Notes	s to the Report	(\$000 ui	nless otherwise sp	ecified)
00					
56	1b(i): De	eductible Interest and Interest Tax Shield			
57	RAB v	value - previous year			408,993
58	Debt I	everage assumption (%)			17%
59	Cost o	of debt assumption (%)			4.96%
60		nal deductible interest			3,449
61		ite (%)			28.0%
62	Notion	nal interest tax shield			966
63		egulatory Investment Value			
64	Regul	atory asset base value - previous year			408,993
			Assets	Proportion of	
			Commissioned—	Year Available	Proportionate
65	1	Commissioned Projects	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000)	Year Available (%)	Regulatory Value
66	;	Terminal project	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436	Year Available (%) 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359
66 67	6	Terminal project Runway Maintenance	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099
66 67 68	р Т	Terminal project	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436	Year Available (%) 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515
66 67 68 69		Terminal project Runway Maintenance	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515 -
66 67 68 69 70		Terminal project Runway Maintenance	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515 – –
66 67 68 69 70 71		Terminal project Runway Maintenance	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Arrow Constraint Constraint </th
66 67 68 69 70 71 72		Terminal project Runway Maintenance	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73		Terminal project Runway Maintenance	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Arrow Constraint Constraint </th
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74		Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394 18,060	Year Available (%) 25% 25% 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75	plus	Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation Other assets commissioned	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394	Year Available (%) 25%	Arrow Constraint Constraint </th
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74	plus plus	Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation Other assets commissioned Adjustment for merger, acquisition or sale activity	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394 18,060 18,060 10,000 10,0600	Year Available (%) 25% 25% 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76	plus plus less	Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation Other assets commissioned	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394 18,060	Year Available (%) 25% 25% 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	plus plus less	Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation Other assets commissioned Adjustment for merger, acquisition or sale activity Asset disposals RAB investment	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394 18,060 4 4,394 18,060 4 4,394 18,060 4 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3941,394 4,394 4,3944,394 1	Year Available (%) 25% 25% 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515
666 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78	plus plus less	Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation Other assets commissioned Adjustment for merger, acquisition or sale activity Asset disposals	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394 18,060 4 4,394 18,060 4 4,394 18,060 4 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3941,394 4,394 4,3944,394 1	Year Available (%) 25% 25% 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515 -
666 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79	plus plus less	Terminal project Runway Maintenance Apron Taxiway remediation Other assets commissioned Adjustment for merger, acquisition or sale activity Asset disposals RAB investment	Commissioned— RAB Value (\$000) 41,436 4,394 18,060 4 4,394 18,060 4 4,394 18,060 4 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3944,394 4,394 4,3941,394 4,394 4,3944,394 1	Year Available (%) 25% 25% 25%	Regulatory Value 10,359 1,099 4,515 -

	Regulated For Year		hristchurch Inter	national Airpo ne 2013	rt Ltd
	PORT ON THE REGULATORY I		30 301	10 2013	
Version 2.0		i kom			
2a: Regulatory	Profit				
Income					(\$000)
	Airfield Charges			20,925	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Terminal Charges			7,100	
	Counter Charges			2,099	
	Passenger Service Charges			13,463	
	Lease, rental and concession incom	e		7,089	
	Other operating revenue			1,454	
	Net operating revenue				52,1
	Gains / (losses) on sale of assets			(58)	
	Other income			204	
	Total regulatory income				52,2
Expenses					
	Operational expenditure:				
	Corporate overheads			9,593	
	Asset management and airport oper	rations		18,289	
	Asset maintenance			2,579	
	Total operational expenditure				30,4
Operating s	urplus / (deficit)				21,8
operating s					21,0
	Regulatory depreciation				21,1
	Indexed revaluation			2.203	
plus plus	Non-indexed revaluation			4.407	
pius	Total revaluations			4,407	6,6
				<u>.</u>	0,0
Regulatory	Profit / (Loss) before tax & allowance	e for long term credi	it spread		7,2
less	Allowance for long term credit sprea	ıd			
Regulatory	Profit / (Loss) before tax				7,2
Regulatory	Tont / (Loss) before tax				7,2
less	Regulatory tax allowance				
	o ,				
Regulatory I	Profit / (Loss)				7,2
Commentar	y on Regulatory Profit				
Iten		2011	2012	2013	
iten	•	2011	\$'000	2013	
Tota	I Regulatory Income	\$49,402	\$52,726	\$52,275	
	I Operational Expenditure	\$24,299	\$28,315	\$30,461	
	ulatory Depreciation	\$12,444	\$18,967	\$21,138	
Reg		\$9,409	\$3,739	\$6,611	
Tota	I Revaluations			0-0	
Tota Reg	ulatory Tax Allowance	\$3,185	\$1,665	\$56	
Tota Reg				\$56 \$7,213	
Tota Reg Reg	ulatory Tax Allowance	\$3,185 \$18,884	\$1,665		
Tota Reg Reg • Re	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit agulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m at operating revenue from specified	\$3,185 \$18,884 airport activities wa	\$1,665 \$7,517 as \$52.130m (2012	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05	5%). This
Tota Reg e Reg • Re • Ne	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit egulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m at operating revenue from specified Juded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a	\$3,185 \$18,884 airport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servic	\$1,665 \$7,517 is \$52.130m (2012 ilemented in Decem ce charges) and the	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 iber 2012. How related counter	ever this
Tota Reg Reg • Re • No • No • no • no	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit agulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m it operating revenue from specified bluded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a ntinue to be affected by reduced aircu	\$3,185 \$18,884 airport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servic raft movements and	\$1,665 \$7,517 Is \$52.130m (2012 Ilemented in Decen ce charges) and the passenger numbers	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 ber 2012. How related counter	ever this charges
Tota Reg Reg • Re • Ne inv re ccc	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit sgulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m et operating revenue from specified Juded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a ntinue to be affected by reduced airco perating expenses for the period were	\$3,185 \$18,884 airport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servic raft movements and \$\$30.461m (2012 \$2	\$1,665 \$7,517 is \$52.130m (2012 lemented in Decerr ce charges) and the passenger numbers 28.316m, 7.58%). TI	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 ber 2012. How related counter	ever this charges
Tota Reg Reg • Re • Not inter- rec • Ot to	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit egulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m it operating revenue from specified uluded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a ntinue to be affected by reduced aircr berating expenses for the period were the inclusion of a full year of operatin	\$3,185 \$18,884 airport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servior raft movements and a \$30.461m (2012 % g stages 1 and 2 of	\$1,665 \$7,517 Is \$52.130m (2012 Iemented in Decem re charges) and the passenger numbers 88.316m, 7.58%). TI ITP.	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 ber 2012. How related counter nese have increa	ever this r charges ased due
Tota Reg Reg • Re • Ne • Ne • Ne • O to • O to • Re	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit egulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m it operating revenue from specified buded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a ntinue to be affected by reduced aircr operating expenses for the period were the inclusion of a full year of operatin gulatory depreciation at \$21.138m ir II of ITP and to the adoption of the	\$3,185 \$18,884 airport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servio raft movements and \$30.461m (2012 \$2 g stages 1 and 2 of icreased by \$2,171m Implied Dep2rectatio	\$1,665 \$7,517 Is \$52.130m (2012 Iemented in Decerr be charges) and the passenger numbers 28.316m, 7.58%). TI ITP. n due to a full years	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 ber 2012. How related counter nese have increa depreciation of	ever this r charges ased due Stages I
Tota Reg Reg • Re • No • No • No • O • O • O • O • O • O • O • O • O • O	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit ggulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m at operating revenue from specified buded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a ntinue to be affected by reduced airci berating expenses for the period were the inclusion of a full year of operatin gulatory depreciation at \$21.138m in II of ITP and to the adoption of the tivities covered by the PSE2 Price re avaluations for 2013 were \$6.611m (2	\$3,185 \$18,884 alirport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servic att movements and \$30.461m (2012 \$2 g stages 1 and 2 of creased by \$2,171n Implied Depreciatio set 2012 \$3,739m) This i	\$1,665 \$7,517 is \$52.130m (2012 lemented in Decen ze charges) and the passenger numbers 28.316m, 7.58%). TI ITP. n due to a full years n methodology for t increase was compr	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 iber 2012. How related counter nese have increa- depreciation of he Airfield and ised of the reva	ever this r charges ased due Stages I Terminal luation of
Tota Reg Reg • Re • No • No • No • O • O • O • O • O • O • O • O • O • O	ulatory Tax Allowance ulatory Profit egulatory Profit for 2013 was \$7.213m it operating revenue from specified buded the benefit of the new aeron venue (particularly terminal, airfield a ntinue to be affected by reduced aircr operating expenses for the period were the inclusion of a full year of operatin gulatory depreciation at \$21.138m ir II of ITP and to the adoption of the	\$3,185 \$18,884 alirport activities wa autical charges imp ind passenger servic att movements and \$30.461m (2012 \$2 g stages 1 and 2 of creased by \$2,171n Implied Depreciatio set 2012 \$3,739m) This i	\$1,665 \$7,517 is \$52.130m (2012 lemented in Decen ze charges) and the passenger numbers 28.316m, 7.58%). TI ITP. n due to a full years n methodology for t increase was compr	\$7,213 \$52.399m,05 iber 2012. How related counter nese have increa- depreciation of he Airfield and ised of the reva	ever this r charges ased due Stages I Terminal luation of

SCH	1EC	DULE 2: REPORT ON THE REGULATOR	RY PROFIT (d	cont)	Re F	gulated Airport or Year Ended	Christo		ernational une 2013	Airport Ltd
						(\$000 u	nless otherwise	specified)		
72	2b:	Notes to the Report								
73	2	b(i): Allowance for Long Term Credit S	pread							
		Schedule 2b(i) is only to be completed if at the en years.	d of the disclosu	re year the weighte	ed average original te	enor of the airport's q	ualifying debt and	l non-qualifyir	ng debt is great	ter than five
74			logue data	Dejoing data	Original tenor (in	Coupon rate	Baskyslus	Term Credit Spread	Execution cost of an interest rate	Notional debt issue cost
75 76		Qualifying debt Wholesale Bond Issue	Issue date 06/12/2012	Pricing date 06/12/2012	years) 7.0	(%) 5.15%	Book value 75,000	Difference 113	swap 30	readjustment (75)
77		Subordinated Wholesale Bond	18/10/2009	18/10/2009	7.0	-	25,000	38	-	(25)
78 79								150	30	(100)
80								100	00	
81 82										80
83								Attrib	ution Rate (%)	23%
84							Allowanaa	for long torm	aradit aproad	18
85							Allowance	for long term	credit spread	18
86	2	b(ii): Financial Incentives								
87 88		Pricing incentives		5,808	(\$000)					
89		Other incentives		143						
90		Total financial incentives			5,951					
91	2	b(iii): Rates and Levy Costs			(0000)					
92 93		Rates and levy costs			(\$000) 885					
94	2	b(iv): Merger and Acquisition Expense								
94 95	-	b(w). merger and Acquisition Expense.	5		(\$000)					
96		Merger and acquisition expenses								
97	J	ustification for Merger and Acquisition Expens								
98		There were no merger and acquisition expense	s							
99 100										
101										
102										
103 104										
104										
106										
107 108										
108										
110										
111										
112 113										
114										
115										
116 117										
118										
119										Page 4

Regulated Airport Christchurch International Airport For Year Ended 30 June 2013 SCHEDULE 3: REPORT ON THE REGULATORY TAX ALLOWANCE ref Version 2.0	
SCHEDULE 3: REPORT ON THE REGULATORY TAX ALLOWANCE	Ltd
6 3a: Regulatory Tax Allowance (S	6000)
7 Regulatory profit / (loss) before tax	7,269
8 al plus Degulatory depreciation	
9 plus Regulatory depreciation 21,138 10 Other permanent differences—not deductible 33 *	
11 Other temporary adjustments—current period (320)	
	20,851
13 14 less Total revaluations 6,611	
15 Tax depreciation 17,459	
16 Notional deductible interest 3,449	
17 Other permanent differences—non taxable * 18 Other temporary adjustments—prior period 401 *	
19	27,919
	200
21 Regulatory taxable income (loss)	200
23 /ess Tax losses used	
24 Net taxable income	200
26 Statutory tax rate (%) 28.0%	
27 Regulatory tax allowance	56
28 * Workings to be provided	
²⁹ 3b: Notes to the Report	
 30 3b(i): Disclosure of Permanent Differences and Temporary Adjustments 31 The Airport Business is to provide descriptions and workings of items recorded in the four "other" categories above (explanatory notes can be provided escriptions) 	ided in e
31 The Anpoin Business is to provide descriptions and workings of items recorded in the four other categories above (explanatory holes can be prov 32 separate note if necessary).	ndeu III a
 Details of the tax differences are as follows: Permanent Differences (\$0.033m) This represents 50% of entertainment expenses which are not deductible for tax p 	urposes
 Other Temporary adjustments – current period (-\$0.32m) 	arpooco
 These include personnel accruals that are not deductible in the year they are accrued (\$0.796m). These acc allocated in the same ratio as payroll allocations (52%). In addition, the cost of uniforms capitalised for tax put 	
 also included (\$0.092m) A deferred lease settlement (-\$0.2m) related to specified activities is being spread over five years for tax purpo 	sos and is
included as a current temporary difference.	
40 - The staging costs, (deductible for tax purposes over the period of the project), were for additional operating costs to ensure business operations can meet required operating standards while the new integrated terminal v	was being
41 constructed. These amount to (-\$1.076m) for the current period (total staging costs times the new specifie allocation of 77.82%)	d terminal
 Difference between tax and accounting gain on asset disposal of \$0.068m Other permanent differences – pon-taxable - Nil 	
 Other permanent differences – non-taxable - Nil Other Temporary adjustments – prior period (\$0.401m) These differences are effectively the reversal of the pre 	vious year
45 accruals.	
46 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	
48	
2h(ii)) Tax Depresistion Bell Forward	
49 3b(ii): Tax Depreciation Roll-Forward 50 (\$000)	
51 Opening RAB (Tax Value)	
52 plus Regulatory tax asset value of additions 59,331	
Fall loss Pogulatory tax asset value of diaposale	
53 Jess Regulatory tax asset value of disposals 5,602 54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base -	
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459	
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459 56 plus Other adjustments to the RAB tax value 13,709	914 959
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459	214,252
54plusRegulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base-55lessTax depreciation17,45956plusOther adjustments to the RAB tax value13,709	214,252
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459 56 plus Other adjustments to the RAB tax value 13,709 57 Closing RAB (tax value) 13,709 58 3b(iii): Reconciliation of Tax Losses (Airport Business) (\$000)	214,252
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459 56 plus Other adjustments to the RAB tax value 13,709 57 Closing RAB (tax value) 13,709 58 3b(iii): Reconciliation of Tax Losses (Airport Business) (\$000) 60 Tax losses (regulated business)—prior period -	214,252
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459 56 plus Other adjustments to the RAB tax value 13,709 57 Closing RAB (tax value) 13,709 58 3b(iii): Reconciliation of Tax Losses (Airport Business) (\$000)	214,252
54 plus Regulatory tax asset value of assets transferred from/(to) unregulated asset base - 55 less Tax depreciation 17,459 56 plus Other adjustments to the RAB tax value 13,709 57 Closing RAB (tax value) 13,709 58 3b(iii): Reconciliation of Tax Losses (Airport Business) (\$000) 60 Tax losses (regulated business)—prior period - 61 plus Current year tax losses -	214,252

		Regu	ulated Airport	Christchurc	h Internationa	I Airport Ltd
			Year Ended		30 June 2013	
	HEDULE 4: REPORT ON REGULATORY ASSET BAS Version 2.0	SE ROLL FORWAR	ID (cont)			
			(\$000 u	nless otherwise s	pecified)	
71	4b(ii): Non-Standard Depreciation Disclosure				RAB value	RAB value
			Depreciation	Year change	under 'non-	under
72	Non-standard Depreciation Methodology		charge for the period (RAB)	made (year ended)	standard' depreciation	'standard' depreciation
	Calculation of Depreciation to a method that calculat	es the depreciation				
73 74	implied by the long-run price path.		10,830	2013	484,611	485,887
74						
76						
77						
78	4b(iii): Non-Standard Depreciation Disclosure for	r Year of Change				
						ner disagreement
79	Summary of Change		fication for change eciation methodolo			nd response
	Change from using a standard "straight-line" depreciation method, to using a method that calculates the depreciation implied by the	In reporting on the effective relation to Christchurch Air			A copy of that report h our stakeholders toget	
	long-run price path.	about the transparency of the Commissions transpar	ency concerns CIAL has		being held. Feedback v key customers (includir	
		revised methodology for th	e remainder of PSE2.		expert advisor Covec).	
80						
80		CIAL has sought expert a			This has been conside of our revised methodo	
		(Incenta) to advise on a m transparency concerns. A prepared by Incenta.			expert's report, includir feedback from our stak	ig analysis of the
		prepared by incenta.			advisor, can be found o www.christchurchairpo	on our website at
81		<u> </u>				
82	4b(iv): Calculation of Revaluation Rate and Index	ed Revaluation of	Fixed Assets			
83 84	CPI at CPI reference date—previous year (index valu					1,168
85	CPI at CPI reference date—current year (index value					1,176
86	Revaluation rate (%)					0.68%
87			Unalloca	ted RAB	R	AB
88	RAB value—previous disclosure year			489,225		408,993
89 90	less Revalued land less Assets with nil physical asset life		84,705 170		83,881 103	
91	less Asset disposals		4,194		3,349	
92 93	less Lost asset adjustment Indexed revaluation		_	2,741	-	2,203
93				2,741		2,203
94	4b(v): Works Under Construction		Unallocated	works under	Allocated v	/orks under
95			constr			uction
96 97	Works under construction—previous disclosure year		36,542	52,830	35,686	35,627
97 98	plus Capital expenditure less Asset commissioned		87,054		75,228	
99	less Offsetting revenue		_		-	
100 101	plus Adjustment resulting from cost allocation Works under construction			2,318		5,118 1,202
102				2,010		.,_52

		Regulated Airport	Christchur	ch International	Airport Ltd
		For Year Ended		30 June 2013	
-	HEDULE 4: REPORT ON REGULATORY ASSET BASE ROLL F	ORWARD			
ref 6	Version 2.0	Unallocat	ed RAB *	R	AB
7		(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
8 9	RAB value—previous disclosure year less		489,225		408,993
10	Regulatory depreciation		24,827		21,138
11	plus				
12	Indexed revaluations Non-indexed revaluations	2,741		2,203	
13 14	Total revaluations	4,411	7,152	4,407	6,610
15	plus		1,102		0,010
16	Assets commissioned (other than below)	81,351		69,702	
17	Assets acquired from a regulated supplier	-		_	
18	Assets acquired from a related party Assets commissioned	5,703	87,054	5,527	75,228
19 20	less		87,034		15,228
21	Asset disposals (other)	110		99	
22	Asset disposals to a regulated supplier	-		-	
23	Asset disposals to a related party	4,084		3,250	
24 25	Asset disposals		4,194		3,349
26	plus Lost and found assets adjustment		_		_
27	,				
28	Adjustment resulting from cost allocation				18,266
29 30	RAB value [†]		554,410		484,611
31 32	Commentary				
33		tion mother data muin 0040. This			
34	There was a revaluation of land under the market value alternative use valua Other assets were revalued using the CPI index of 0.68% which resulted in a	••	-	ase of \$4.407m to th	NE RAB.
35 36	A major project for CIAL over the last three years has been the construction			terminal was opene	d in May 2011
37 38	and Stage II in April 2012, with the full project being completed in 2013. Depreciation has increased since 2012, principally as a result of the new term				
40	I & II of ITP. In addition, CIAL has adopted an Implied Depreciation approac paper. The adjustment resulting from cost allocation of (\$18.266m) is the result of				
41	79.1%; 2012 71.3%) was the result of the final footprint totals for the complete	ed terminal versus the progress	sive stages complete		
42 43	The specific details of the effect in the change in allocation are detailed on So • Direct allocation of certain assets in the Integrated Terminal \$	chedule 9 (asset allocations) Th 5.206m	ese involved the;		
44	 Reclassification of certain assets on completion of ITP, 	5.200m			
45 46	previously allocated as non-specified activities, (refer				
40		341m 719m			
48		266m			
49 50	Further details are included in schedule 9 (Asset Allocations)				
51					
52 53					
55					
54					
55					
56	[†] RAB to correspond with the total assets value disclosed in schedule 9 Asset Allocations.				
57	4b: Notes to the Report				
58	4b(i): Regulatory Depreciation				
59			Unallocated RAB		RAB
60			(\$000)		(\$000)
61 62	Standard depreciation Non-standard depreciation		13,996 10,830		10,307 10,830
63	Regulatory depreciation		24,827		21,138
64			21,021		21,100

			ulated Airport	Christchurc	h International	Airport Ltd
		Foi	r Year Ended		30 June 2013	
SCI	EDULE 4: REPORT ON REGULATORY ASSET BASE	ROLL FORWAR	RD (cont)			
ref	Version 2.0					
109	4b(vi): Capital Expenditure by Primary Purpose					
110	Capacity growth				5,960	
111	plus Asset replacement and renewal				29,726	
112	Total capital expenditure				L	35,686
113	4b(vii): Asset Classes					
				Infrastructure &	Vehicles, Plant	
114	-	Land	Sealed Surfaces	Buildings	& Equipment	Total *
115	RAB value—previous disclosure year	86,922	91,971	223,279	6,822	408,993
116	less Regulatory depreciation	-	10,598	9,935	605	21,138
117	plus Indexed revaluations	-	630	1,527	46	2,203
118	plus Non-indexed revaluations	4,407	·			4,407
119	plus Assets commissioned	5,635	25,969	41,212	2,413	75,228
120	less Asset disposals	3,041	-	277	31	3,349
121	plus Lost and found assets adjustment	-	-	-		-
122	plus Adjustment resulting from cost allocation	10	-	18,386	(131)	18,266
123	RAB value	93,934	107,972	274,191	8,515	484,611
		* Corresponds to values	s in RAB roll forward calcu	lation.		
124	4b(viii): Assets Held for Future Use				Tracking	
125		Base Value	Holding Costs	Net Revenues	Revaluations	Total
126	Assets held for future use-previous disclosure year	42,707	12,236	28	2,517	57,432
127	plus Assets held for future use—additions ¹	1.487	4,168	28	2,118	7,745
128	less Transfer to works under construction	-	_	_	_	_
129	less Assets held for future use—disposals	2,616	749	-	-	3,365
130	Assets held for future use ²	41,578	15,655	56	4,635	61,812
	¹ Holding Costs, Net Revenues, and Tracking Revaluations entries in the 'Ass ² Each category value shown in the 'Assets held for future use' line (Base Valu					r's disclosure as
131	'Assets held for future use—previous disclosure year'.					
131 132					Г	6.89%

		ated Airport Year Ended	Christch	urch International 30 June 2013	Airport Ltd
	HEDULE 5: REPORT ON RELATE Version 2.0	D PARTY TRA	NSACTIONS		
6 7	5(i): Related Party Transaction	5		(\$000)	
8	Net operating revenue			140	
9	Operational expenditure			5,128	
10	Related party capital expenditure			-	
11	Market value of asset disposals			-	
12	Other related party transactions			63,630	
13	5(ii): Entities Involved in Relate	ed Party Transa	ictions		
14	Entity Name		Related	Party Relationship	
15	Christchurch City Holdings Limited	Majority Sharehold	er		
	Christchurch City Council	Owner of Majority	Shareholder		
17	Connectics Ltd	Subsidiary of Majo			
18	Red Bus Ltd	Subsidiary of Majo			
19	Eco Central Ltd	Subsidiary of Majo	,		
20	Enable Services Ltd	Subsidiary of Majo			
	City Care Limited	Subsidiary of Majo			
	Vbase Limited	Subsidiary of Majo	rity Shareholder		
	BECA Group Limited	Common directors			
	NZ Institute of Chartered Accountants	Common directors			
	PGG Wrightson Limited	Common directors			
26	House of Travel Holdings Limited	Common directors			
27 28	5(iii): Related Party Transaction Entity Name		of Transaction	Average Unit Price (\$)	Value (\$000)
29	Christchurch City Holdings Limited (CCHL)	Subordinated loan ba	lance payable	-	50,000
30	Christchurch City Holdings Limited (CCHL)	Interest paid		-	2,714
31	Christchurch City Holdings Limited (CCHL)	Group Loss offset		-	4,744
32	Christchurch City Council (CCC)	Rates		-	2,971
33	Christchurch City Council (CCC)	Operational expense	5	-	423
34	Christchurch City Council (CCC)	Subvention payments	s / Losses	-	1,845
35	City Care Limited	Operational expense		-	1,305
36	Connectics Ltd	Operational expense	5	-	390
37	Red Bus Ltd	Revenue		-	104
38	Vbase Limited	Operational expense	8	-	33
39	Enable Services Ltd	Revenue		-	24
	BECA Group Limited	Structural Engineerin		-	251
10	PGG Wrightson Limited	Agricultural and lands		-	139
42 43	House of Travel Holdings Limited Other related party transactions	Travel, accommodati various	on, lease tenancy	-	634
	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Management comper including Directors an	rating salaries and other		· · · ·
44 45		- Directors Fees			315
45 46		- Executive Manag	ement		2,983
40 47	Commentary on Related Party Tra				2,903
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	Christchurch City Holdings Limited (CC New Zealand Government owns 25% r Christchurch International Airport Limit State-owned enterprises and other enti separately disclosed where they: • are conducted on an arm's leng • result from the normal dealings • meet the definition of related pa common control or significant in The major elements are loans, interest company, and are not able to be alloca (\$9.573m) cannot reasonably be alloca	espectively of the is ed enters into a larg ties controlled or su th basis; of the parties; and rty transactions only fluence by the Crow on loans and subve ted to specific activi	sued share capital of e number of transactic bject to significant infl v because of the relation m. ention payments (\$59.1 ties. The Company co	the company. ons with government depa uence by the Crown. The onship between the parties 303m). These transactions	rtments, Crown entities, se transactions are not s being subject to s relate to the full transactions
58 59	expense.				

				Regula	ted Airport ear Ended	Christo		ational Airpo e 2013	ort Ltd
וחי	ULE 6: REPORT ON A	ΟΤΠΑΓ ΤΟ Ε	ORECAST				30 Jul	le 2013	
	on 2.0	OTOAL TOT	ONLOADIE		-				
6a:	Actual to Forecast E	xpenditure		Actual for Current Disclosure	Forecast for Current Disclosure		Actual for Period to	Forecast for Period to	(\$000)
	Expenditure by Category			Year (a)	Year* (b)	% Variance (a)/(b)-1	Date (a)	Date* (b)	% Varianc (a)/(b)-1
	Capacity growth			5,960	(5)	N/A	(a) 5,960	(5)	N/
	Asset replacement and re	newal		29,726	33,557	(11.4%)	29,726	33,557	(11.4
٦	Total capital expenditure			35,686	33,557	6.3%	35,686	33,557	6.3
	Corporate overheads			9,593	8,132	18.0%	9,593	8,132	18.0
	Asset management and a	irport operations	3	18,289	16,672	9.7%	18,289	16,672	9.7
	Asset maintenance			2,579	2,054	25.6%	2,579	2,054	25.5
	Total operational expenditu	re		30,461	26,858	13.4%	30,461	26,858	13.4
1	Key Capital Expenditure F	Projects							
	Airfield Pavement Maintena			4,394	6,400	(31.3%)	4,394	6,400	(31.3
	Apron/taxiway remediation			18,060	18,675	(3.3%)	18,060	18,675	(3.3
	Pound Road realignment a	nd RESA		41	4,890	(99.2%)	41	4,890	(99.2
	Terminal Project Terminal lighting upgrade			3,598	- 500	N/A (100.0%)	3,598	- 500	N (100.0
	Land transfers into specifie	d airport activitie	es	- 5,527	-	(100.0%) N/A	- 5,527	-	(100.0 N
Ī				5,021			2,021		
L									
	Other capital expenditure Total capital expenditure			4,065	3,092 33,557	31.5% 6.3%	4,065 35.686	3,092	31.5 6.3
	i otal capital expenditure			35,686	33,557	6.3%	35,686	33,557	6.3
	incentives Insurance Rates	+\$1.481m +\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m	specifically ex Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun	attributable to spo ccluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute o	ng as a conseque 12 renewal attribu on rating methodo	nce of consultation ted to total specific logy applied to ce	vere Ass A ed Con rtain Ass	ual Cost Catego set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management	& ds &
	Insurance Rates	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m	specifically expectifically expectifically expected airport activitient of the cost overrun sections of the under review.	r attributable to spec cluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute o e new integrated t with the Christchu	ecific airlines or ro ng as a conseque 12 renewal attribu on rating methodo terminal, this meth urch City Council	nce of consultation ted to total specifie logy applied to ce todology is preser	vere Ass A ed Con rtain Ass tly A	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations	å ds &
	Insurance Rates Maintenance	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m	specifically ex- Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs e costs relating forecast and the	attributable to speccluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute o e new integrated t with the Christchu exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc	ecific airlines or ro ng as a conseque 12 renewal attribu on rating methodo terminal, this meth urch City Council t by \$0.2m due to n addition there we ation to specified	nce of consultation ted to total specific logy applied to ce loodology is presen higher than expect as a variation betw terminal activities	vere Ass A ad Con rtain Ass ttly A ted Ass veen Ass ted Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance	&
	Insurance Rates Maintenance Cleaning	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m	specifically exp Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs e costs relating forecast and I A small cost of footprint alloc	attributable to spec ccluded from pricir at outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of e new integrated the exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified	ecific airlines or ro rg as a conseque 12 renewal attribut on rating methodo terminal, this meth the specific to specified the specifi	Ince of consultation ted to total specific logy applied to ce ioodology is preser higher than expect as a variation bett terminal activities een forecast and f	vere Ass h Ass ad Countrain Ass ttly A ted Ass veen Ass inal Ass A	Set Management Irport Operations porate Overhead Set Management Irport Operations Set Maintenance Set Management Irport Operations	&
	Insurance Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m	specifically of Increased coo- airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs e- costs relating forecast and I A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due	attributable to speccluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute a e new integrated t exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In imal footprint alloc overrun coupled w	ecific airlines or ro ng as a conseque 12 renewal attribut on rating methodo terminal, this meth urch City Council t by \$0.2m due to addition there we ation to specified ith variation betwe terminal activities lease cost (+\$0.5)	nce of consultation ted to total specific logy applied to ce todology is presen higher than expect as a variation bett terminal activities been forecast and f is89m). This item to	vere Ass h Ass Add Courtain Ass ttly A ted Ass veen Ass vas Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations sset Maintenance set Management	& & & & & &
	Insurance Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 3.477m	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs costs relating forecast and I A small cost of fortprint alloc Primarily due included as a components.	attributable to spy ccluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of enew integrated the with the Christchu- axceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and r	ecific airlines or ro rg as a conseque 12 renewal attribu- on rating methodo terminal, this methodo terminal, this methodo trach CHy Council by \$0.2 m due too n addition there w attoin to specified this variation between terminal activities lease cost (+\$0.5 ecovered through	nce of consultation led to total specific logy applied to ce oodology is preser higher than expect as a variation betterminal activities even forecast and f 	vere Ass Ass Ass Ass Ass Ass Ass Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m the 2012 forecas 2012. The varie ture (\$2.129m) tenance works (- recast capital exp pavement mainte to plan. The asset te of future capit	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs e costs relating forecast and 1 A small cost t footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components.	attributable to spu- ccluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc verrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and r hese costs items v similarly impact or used in setting our plan is used for co eds. In each ye In this discosure	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu- on rating methodo terminal, this meti- rach CHV Council to \$0.2m due to n addition there we attribute the second the second the variation between terminal activities lease cost (+\$0.5] ecovered through were allocated to on those cost category r 1 December 2012 to second purpose ar, we make an a year less capital	nce of consultation led to total specific logy applied to ce loddology is preser higher than expect as a variation bether terminal activities een forecast and f 	d 20	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total Note - When preparing the actual proportions i Total Capital Expendi Airfield pavement main When estimating our fo our estimate of airfield year asset managemer reflects our best estima specific maintenance re	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.377m +\$ 0.3	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs ecosts costs relating forecast and f A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components. at, forecasts of th nace above will s 22.006) benditure to be to mance works du t management to a expenditure no field pavement.	attributable to spu ccluded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of with the Christchu exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and n capital cost and n hese costs items w similarly impact or plan is used for co eds. In each ye In this disclosure and the period De plan is disclosure and the period period to plan is disclosure and the period period to plan is disclosure and the period period to plan is disclosure and the period period period plan is disclosure and the period period period plan is disclosure and the period period period plan is disclosure and the period period period period plan is disclosure and the period period period period period plan is disclosure and the period period plan is disclosure and the period period period period plan is disclosure and the period period period period period plan is disclosure and the period period period period period period period plan is disclosure and the period pe	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu I2 renewal attribu I by \$0.2m due to thy \$0.2m due to station betwi- terminal activities I ease cost (+\$0.5 ecovered through were allocated to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 to scember 2012 to scar we make an a year less capital ast may be requi	nce of consultation red to total specifi logy applied to ce addology is preser- higher than expec- terminal activities as a variation beth terminal activities and forecast and f 	d 20	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total Note - When preparing the actual proportions i Total Capital Expendi Airfield pavement main When estimate of airfield year asset managemer reflects our best estima specific maintenance re required than forecast. Pound Road Realignmy This variance is the res	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m the 2012 forecar n 2012. The variat ture (\$2.129m) tenance works (- recast capital exp avement mainte to of future capit equired on our ail In other years m ent and RESA (- 4 uit of a delay in t 88m) recording additio completed in July 2012 prices. TT 2012 prices. TT	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs of costs relating forecast and 1 A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components.	attributable to spu culuded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of with the Christchu exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and n capital cost and n hese costs items v similarly impact or used in setting our plan is used for co eeds. In each ye In this disclosure enditure than forec project. This capital notiture in complet approximation of the san extra charge, aft fully commissione	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu I2 renewal attribu I by \$0.2m due to by \$0.2m due to to specified ith variation betw terminal activities I ease cost (+\$0.5 ecovered through were allocated to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 to seember 2012 to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 astr may be requi tal expenditure w ling the terminal d ting our costs the d - prior to 1 December 2013 d - prior to 1 December	nce of consultation led to total specifi logy applied to ce addology is preser higher than expec as a variation beth terminal activities are norecast and f 	vere Ass h Ass Add Cou train Ass titly A ted Ass ween Ass inal Ass ranial Ass avas Ass apital A add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass Ass add Ass add Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total Note - When preparing the actual proportions i Total Capital Expendi Airfield pavement main When estimate of airfield year asset managemer reflects our best estima specific maintenance re required than forecast. Pound Road Realignmy This variance is the res the 2014/2015 period. Terminal Project (\$3.55 This variance is the res the 2014/2015 period. Terminal Project (\$3.55 This variance is the res the 2014/2015 period. Terminal as setting our 1 Decemble customers were using a assumed the terminal us	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m the 2012 forecas n 2012. The varie ture (\$2.129m) tenance works (: recast capital exp pavement mainte and RESA (-3 ult of a delay in the BBM) recording additio completed in July red a delay in the BBM) recording addition completed in July red a delay in the BBM) recording addition completed in July red a delay in the red a de	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs of costs relating forecast and 1 A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components.	attributable to spu culuded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of with the Christchu exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and n capital cost and n hese costs items v similarly impact or used in setting our plan is used for co eeds. In each ye In this disclosure enditure than forec project. This capital notiture in complet approximation of the san extra charge, aft fully commissione	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu I2 renewal attribu I by \$0.2m due to by \$0.2m due to to specified ith variation betw terminal activities I ease cost (+\$0.5 ecovered through were allocated to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 to seember 2012 to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 astr may be requi tal expenditure w ling the terminal d ting our costs the d - prior to 1 December 2013 d - prior to 1 December	nce of consultation led to total specifi logy applied to ce addology is preser higher than expec as a variation beth terminal activities are norecast and f 	vere Ass h Ass Add Cou train Ass titly A ted Ass ween Ass inal Ass ranial Ass avas Ass apital A add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass Ass add Ass add Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total Note - When preparing the actual proportions i Total Capital Expendi Airfield pavement main When estimating our fo our estimate of airfield) year asset managemer reflects our best estima specific maintenance re required than forecast. Pound Road Realignm This variance is the re the 2014/2015 period. Terminal Project (\$3.55 This variance is due to treated the terminal as setting our 1 Decembe customers were using a assumed the terminal v of this approach is that accounts as capital exp	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m the 2012 forecas n 2012. The varie ture (\$2.129m) tenance works (: recast capital exp pavement mainte and RESA (-3 ult of a delay in the BBM) recording additio completed in July red a delay in the BBM) recording addition completed in July red a delay in the BBM) recording addition completed in July red a delay in the red a de	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs of costs relating forecast and 1 A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components.	attributable to spu culuded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of with the Christchu exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and n capital cost and n hese costs items v similarly impact or used in setting our plan is used for co eeds. In each ye In this disclosure enditure than forec project. This capital notiture in complet approximation of the san extra charge, aft fully commissione	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu I2 renewal attribu I by \$0.2m due to by \$0.2m due to to specified ith variation betw terminal activities I ease cost (+\$0.5 ecovered through were allocated to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 to seember 2012 to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 astr may be requi tal expenditure w ling the terminal d ting our costs the d - prior to 1 December 2013 d - prior to 1 December	nce of consultation led to total specifi logy applied to ce addology is preser higher than expec as a variation beth terminal activities are norecast and f 	vere Ass h Ass Add Cou train Ass titly A ted Ass ween Ass inal Ass ranial Ass avas Ass apital A add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass Ass add Ass add Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total Note - When preparing the actual proportions i Total Capital Expendi Airfield pavement main When estimating our fo our estimate of airfield) year asset managemer reflects our best estima specific maintenance re required than forecast. Pound Road Realignm This variance is the re the 2014/2015 period. Terminal Project (\$3.55 This variance is due to treated the terminal as setting our 1 Decembe customers were using a assumed the terminal v of this approach is that accounts as capital exp	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m the 2012 forecas n 2012. The varie ture (\$2.129m) tenance works (: recast capital exp pavement mainte and RESA (-3 ult of a delay in the BBM) recording additio completed in July red a delay in the BBM) recording addition completed in July red a delay in the BBM) recording addition completed in July red a delay in the red a de	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs of costs relating forecast and 1 A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components.	attributable to spu culuded from pricir st outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of with the Christchu exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and n capital cost and n hese costs items v similarly impact or used in setting our plan is used for co eeds. In each ye In this disclosure enditure than forec project. This capital notiture in complet approximation of the san extra charge, aft fully commissione	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu I2 renewal attribu I by \$0.2m due to by \$0.2m due to to specified ith variation betw terminal activities I ease cost (+\$0.5 ecovered through were allocated to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 to seember 2012 to those cost catego r 1 December 2012 astr may be requi tal expenditure w ling the terminal d ting our costs the d - prior to 1 December 2013 d - prior to 1 December	nce of consultation led to total specifi logy applied to ce addology is preser higher than expec as a variation beth terminal activities are norecast and f 	vere Ass h Ass Add Cou train Ass titly A ted Ass ween Ass inal Ass ranial Ass avas Ass apital A add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass Ass add Ass add Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &
	Insurance Rates Rates Maintenance Cleaning Other operating costs Total Note - When preparing the actual proportions i Total Capital Expendi Airfield pavement main When estimating our fo our estimate of airfield) year asset managemer reflects our best estima specific maintenance re required than forecast. Pound Road Realignm This variance is the re the 2014/2015 period. Terminal Project (\$3.55 This variance is due to treated the terminal as setting our 1 Decembe customers were using a assumed the terminal v of this approach is that accounts as capital exp	+\$ 0.474m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.510m +\$ 0.312m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.343m +\$ 0.367m +\$ 0.357m +\$ 0.357m the 2012 forecas n 2012. The varia ture (\$2.129m) tenance works -[1 recast capital exp pavement mainte th plan. The asse recast capital exp pavement mainte th of har years m ent and RESA (-{ ult of a delay in the second plate of hutter capital pavement mainte to ofter years m ent and RESA (-{ ult of a delay in the second plate of hutter capital pavement mainte the of future capital pavement mainte th ofter years m ent and RESA (-{ ult of a delay in the second plate of hutter capital pavement mainte a del (-{ so.5m) en started yet.	specifically of Increased cos airport activiti Cost overrun sections of th under review Actual costs of costs relating forecast and f A small cost of footprint alloc Primarily due included as a components. at, forecasts of th ance above will s \$2,006) benditure to be 4 mance works du t management j expediture of the mance works du t management j expediture of the particular payments. \$4,849m) he timing of the nal capital expe state a pragm d terminal at no in fact it was re required to c is of forecast.	attributable to spu coluded from pricir si outturn post 201 es owing to dispute of enew integrated t with the Christchu- exceeded forecast to the Terminal. In final footprint alloc overrun coupled w ation to specified to amortisation of capital cost and r messe costs items v similarly impact or used in setting our rring the period De plan is used for co eds. In each ye notiture than forec project. This capi nditure in complet attic line in the sant e xtra charge, aft fully commissione omplete the termin	ecific airlines or ro g as a conseque 12 renewal attribu- on rating methodo in the reminal, this meth- ruch CHy Council by \$0.2m due to solve the solve the solve the variation between the variation	nce of consultation led to total specifi logy applied to ce addology is preser higher than expec as a variation beth terminal activities are norecast and f 	vere Ass h Ass Add Cou train Ass titly A ted Ass ween Ass inal Ass ranial Ass avas Ass apital A add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass avas Ass apital Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass add Ass Ass add Ass add Ass	set Management irport Operations porate Overhead set Management irport Operations set Maintenance set Management irport Operations set Management irport Operations	& & & & &

				ted Airport ear Ended	Christo		national Air	oort Ltd
						30 Jur	ne 2013	
		ULE 6: REPORT ON ACTUAL TO FORECAST E ion 2.0	XPENDITURE	E (cont)				
ref	vers	0/12.0						
86		Explanation of Variances (continued)						
87								
88		Land transfers into specified airport activities (\$5.527m) This variance is a result of land held for development bein	a transforred into	appaified airport	optivition. This w	a the result of a	land reconfigurat	ion in
89		front of the terminal, with some areas previously classified					land reconligurat	ion in
		Other capital expenditure (\$0.973m)						
		This variance is the result of several technology projects the	hat arose post the	completion of th	e forecast.			
98								
99								
100								
101								
102	6b	: Forecast Expenditure						
103		From most recent disclosure following a price setting event						
		Starting year of current pricing period (year ended)	30 June 2013]				
				- 	Pricing	Pricing	Pricing	Pricing
				Pricing Period	Period	Period	Period Starting Year	Period
105		Expenditure by Category		Starting Year	+ 1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4
106								
107		Capacity growth		-	-	-	5,916	_
108		Asset replacement and renewal		33,557	12,137	7,366	7,415	9,083
109		Total forecast capital expenditure		33,557	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083
110					·		·	
111		Corporate overheads		8,132	8,691	8,864	9,076	9,272
112		Asset management and airport operations		16,672	17,817	18,171	18,607	19,009
113		Asset maintenance		2,054	2,195	2,239	2,293	2,342
114		Total forecast operational expenditure		26,858	28,703	29,274	29,976	30,623
					Pricing	Pricing	Pricing	Pricing
				Pricing	Period	Period	Period	Period
				Period			Starting Year	
115 116		Key Capital Expenditure Projects		Starting Year	+1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4
117		Airfield Pavement Maintenance works		6,400	6,700	5,400	5,000	6,300
118		Apron/taxiway remediation		18,675	-	-	-	-
119		Pound Road realignment and RESA		4,890	-	-	-	_
120		Phase 3a - Regional Stands, Hangar 4 removed		-	3,130	-	-	-
121		Terminal lighting upgrade		500	-	-	-	_
122		Disaster recovery and high availability		-	-	-	-	500
123		International Stand optimisation		_	-	-	5,916	-
124								
125								
126		Other capital expenditure		3,092	2,307	1,966	2,415	2,283
127		Total forecast capital expenditure		33,557	12,137	7,366	13,331	9,083
128								Page 11

-11		Regulated Airport For Year Ended		h International A 30 June 2013	
	EDULE 7: REPORT ON SEGMENTED (ersion 2.0) INFORMATION			
v					(\$000)
		Specified			(\$000)
		Passenger		Aircraft and	
		Terminal	Airfield	Freight	Airport
		Activities	Activities	Activities	Business*
	Airfield Charges		20,925		20,92
	Terminal Charges	7,100			7,10
	Counter Charges	2,099	_		2,09
	Passenger Service Charges	13,463	_		13,46
	Lease, rental and concession income	3,346	240	3,503	7,08
	Other operating revenue	1,073	308	74	1,45
	Net operating revenue	27,081	21,472	3,577	52,13
			i	A	· · · · ·
	Gains / (losses) on asset sales	(49)	(10)	1	(5
	Other income	107	90	6	20
	Total regulatory income	27,139	21,552	3,584	52,27
	Total operational expenditure	18,802	10,870	790	30,46
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Regulatory depreciation	8,842	11,871	424	21,13
	Total an all offices		4 707	004	
	Total revaluations	1,523	4,707	381	6,61
	Allowance for long term credit spread	9	8	1	1
	Allowance for long term credit spread		v	<u>'</u>][
	Regulatory tax allowance	(2,123)	1,235	945	5
	3 9		· · · · ·		
	Regulatory profit/ loss	3,132	2,275	1,806	7,21
	Development and the standard	000.040	404.040	47.000	400.00
	Regulatory investment value * Corresponds to values reported in the Report on R	220,248	191,046	17,666	428,96
	Corresponds to values reported in the Report on R	eguialory Front and the Report of	r Return on investment		
	Commentary on Segmented Information	n			
	The regulatory profit for the year ending 30	0 June 2013, prior to the inc	usion of the interes	t rate shield, is \$7.2	13 million.
				ompared to \$404.0	58 million at 30
	Regulatory investment value for the year				
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc	crease is the consequence of	f the commissioning	of the ITP develop	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti	crease is the consequence of	f the commissioning	of the ITP develop	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets.	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of	f the commissioning categories is detaile	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec	crease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19%	crease is the consequence of the specified airport activity of crified Airfield Specie % (2.23%)	f the commissioning categories is detaile	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec	crease is the consequence of the specified airport activity of crified Airfield Specie % (2.23%)	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rn; s	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%)	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20	ment.
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rn; s s ombination of impacts on ea	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including:	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20	nent. 112 comparative
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the im terminal and related income reduced	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rn; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae I from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements;
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecting performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified (1.82%) 1.199 Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the implementation of the segments of the segments of the segment of the	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae i from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity fol	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements;
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the im terminal and related income reduced	strease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae plementation of the new ae pecified terminal activity foll npleted complex.	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements;
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecting performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the implementation the income reduced Increased operating expenses for signification of the complementation of	strease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae plementation of the new ae pecified terminal activity foll npleted complex.	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements;
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecting performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the immaterminal and related income reduced Increase operating expenses for signeficeting the final footprint of the con Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decreased	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rn; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae I from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity foll mpleted complex. t value due to the completion used due to:	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commission of the integrated t	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 ght from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal.	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; 'P developmen
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecti- performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Spec 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a cc Increased Revenue owing to the impleterminal and related income reduced Increased operating expenses for sp reflecting the final footprint of the con Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decreas The implementation of the revised	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rn; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae l from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity foll npleted complex. t value due to the completion used due to: "Implied Depreciation" meth	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss n of the integrated t	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal. Depreciation for airfi	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; 'P developmen eld land assets
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecting performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the immaterminal and related income reduced Increase operating expenses for signeficeting the final footprint of the con Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decreated	srease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae l from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity foll mpleted complex. t value due to the completion used due to: "Implied Depreciation" mether tation performed for PSE2, v	the commissioning ategories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss owing the commission of the integrated t	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal. Depreciation for airfi int to be rebated to	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; 'P developmen eld land assets customers ove
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respecting performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.19% Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the implementated income reduced Increased operating expenses for spreflecting the final footprint of the com Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decreas The return of the revised was high in 2013 due to land revalu	srease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae l from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity foll mpleted complex. t value due to the completion used due to: "Implied Depreciation" mether tation performed for PSE2, v	the commissioning ategories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss owing the commission of the integrated t	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal. Depreciation for airfi int to be rebated to	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; 'P developmen eld land assets customers ove
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respective performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.199 Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the impleterminal and related income reduced Increased operating expenses for spreflecting the final footprint of the com Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decrear The implementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 due to land revalu PSE2. In 2013 whole year of rebate of the return on aircraft and Freight The return on aircraft and freight has incrementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the return on aircraft and freight has incrementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae if from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity foll npleted complex. t value due to the completion used due to: "Implied Depreciation" meth iation performed for PSE2, was provided over 7 months eased due to:	the commissioning tategories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss owing the commiss on of the integrated t nodology. Implied E with revalued amou for which new price	g of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 ight from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal. Depreciation for airfi int to be rebated to as were in operation	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; 'P developmen eld land assets customers ove
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respective performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.199 Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the implementation of the reduced Increased operating expenses for spreflecting the final footprint of the com Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decreas The implementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 due to land revalu PSE2. In 2013 whole year of rebate of the return on aircraft and Freight The return on aircraft and freight has increased and the servenue for the year ending 30 June	rease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of cified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae plementation of the new ae plementation of the new ae pletied terminal activity foll npleted complex. t value due to the completion used due to: "Implied Depreciation" meth lation performed for PSE2, was provided over 7 months eased due to: e 2013 was \$3.584m, a red	the commissioning ategories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss owing the commiss of the integrated t with revalued amou for which new price uction of \$0.237m	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 g ht from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal. Depreciation for airfi int to be rebated to es were in operation from 2012	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; "P developmen eld land assets customers ove
	June 2012 (\$24.902m / +6.16%). This inc The returns on investment for the respective performance included in brackets. Specified Terminal Specified 1.42% (1.82%) 1.42% (1.82%) 1.199 Considering each of these segments in tur Specified Passenger Terminal Activities The slight reduction in return is due to a co Increased Revenue owing to the impleterminal and related income reduced Increased operating expenses for spreflecting the final footprint of the com Increase in the regulatory investment Specified Airfield Activities The return on airfield activities has decrear The implementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 due to land revalu PSE2. In 2013 whole year of rebate of the return on aircraft and Freight The return on aircraft and freight has incrementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the return on aircraft and freight has incrementation of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of rebate of the revised 'was high in 2013 whole year of	crease is the consequence of ive specified airport activity of crified Airfield Speci % (2.23%) rm; s ombination of impacts on ea plementation of the new ae I from 2012 (\$2.342m) owing pecified terminal activity foll mpleted complex. t value due to the completion sed due to: "Implied Depreciation" mether tation performed for PSE2, was was provided over 7 months eased due to: e 2013 was \$3.584m, a red ar ended 30 June 2013 was	f the commissioning categories is detaile fied Aircraft & Frei 10.22% (-1.5%) rnings including: ronautical charges to the reduced pas owing the commiss owing the commiss owing the commiss owing the commission of the integrated t bodology. Implied E with revalued amou for which new price uction of \$0.237m as \$0.790m reduc	of the ITP develop d below, with the 20 ight from 1 December 2 ssenger and aircraft sioning of the full IT erminal. Depreciation for airfi int to be rebated to es were in operation from 2012 ing from \$1.864m	nent. 112 comparative 2012 but overal movements; 'P development eld land assets customers over in 2012 due to

		ed Airport	Christo		national Airp	ort Ltd
	For Ye	ear Ended		30 Jur	ne 2013	
IEDULE 8: CON	SOLIDATION STATEMENT					
Version 2.0						
	TION STATEMENT					(\$000)
sa: CONSOLIDA	TION STATEMENT		Regulatory/	Airport	Unregulated	(\$000) Airport
		Airport	GAAP	Business-	Activities-	Company-
		Businesses	Adjustments	GAAP	GAAP	GAAP
			,			
Net income		52,275	66	52,341	72,872	125,213
		02,210		02,011		120,21
Total operation	nal expenditure	30,461	-	30,461	23,107	53,56
Operating sur	plus / (deficit) before interest,					
	revaluations and tax	21,814	66	21,880	49,765	71,64
Depreciatio		21,138	2,301	23,439	7,373	30,812
Revaluation		6,611	28,187	34,798	6,090	40,88
Tax expens	e	56	(2,682)	(2,626)	7,626	5,00
Net		7.001	00.00.1	05.001	10.05-	70 70
ivet operating	surplus / (deficit) before interest	7,231	28,634	35,864	40,857	76,72
Proporty plan	t and equipment	484,611	81,119	567,006	326,435	893,44
Fioperty plan	and equipment	404,011	01,119	307,000	520,455	093,44
						Poquiatory
						Regulatory
				Affected Line		GAAP
	iption of Regulatory / GAAP Adju			ltem		GAAP Adjustments
Depreciation r	nethodology - on additions and disposa	ls under GAAP		Item Depreciation		GAAP Adjustments 2,30
Depreciation r Sale of assets	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th	ls under GAAP e gain on sale		Item Depreciation Net income		GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6
Depreciation n Sale of assets CPI index reva	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th aluation and Land under MVAU method	ls under GAAP e gain on sale	GAAP	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations		GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation p	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP	ls under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under		Item Depreciation Net income		GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation p Tax expense a	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th aluation and Land under MVAU method	ls under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under		Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations		GAAP Adjustments 2,30 61 (6,61 34,79
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation c	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense	equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 60 (6,61 34,79 (2,68
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs Land Held for	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - es	Is under GAAP e gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant 8		GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er- ariance due to different methods for ye	Is under GAAP e gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant 8 Property plant 8	& equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc	Is under GAAP e gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 action %	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant 8	& equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er- ariance due to different methods for ye	Is under GAAP e gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 action %	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant 8 Property plant 8	& equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in allood d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 iation % IP adjustments	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant 8 Property plant 8	& equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases th aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 iation % IP adjustments	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant 8 Property plant 8	& equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pr Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m)	Is under GAAP e gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 eation % AP adjustments	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant &	<u>x equipment</u> x equipment	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation per Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 cation % AP adjustments nt the implied deprese	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Dinder regulatory rul	& equipment & equipment es, there is no depr	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6((6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60) reciation on asse
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pe Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m)	Is under GAAP te gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAE ars 2009-2013 tation % IP adjustments ht the implied depre- tate in the year of a	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Under regulatory rul Under GAAP how	& equipment & equipment es, there is no deprever, assets are dep	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60 eciation on asse
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione- use in the year	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er- ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in allood d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statement SAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of ar of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$28.187m)	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAE ars 2009-2013 cation % AP adjustments ht the implied deprecent tate in the year of a cation under GAAF	as perm/temp 3 Ciation adjustment. L addition or disposal. Prules being higher t	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Under regulatory rul Under GAAP how han depreciation co	& equipment & equipment es, there is no depr ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6((6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60 reciation on asse reciation on asse
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pr Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione- use in the yea	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statements (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of ro completion thereby resulting in depred	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 sation % AP adjustments ht the implied deprecent tate in the year of a sizion under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 a	as perm/temp 3 ciation adjustment. L addition or disposal. P rules being higher t and requires the det	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Under GAAP how han depreciation co	k equipment k equipment k equipment es, there is no depr ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6((6,61 34,79) (2,68) 24,57(58,14) (1,60) reciation on asse preciation on asses so of asset. Und
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation co * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione- use in the year Revaluation y rule for GAAP, regulatory rule the only exce	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er- ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer G2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning or r of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$28.187m) assets are initially established at value plion to this rule and can be valued using	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAE ars 2009-2013 ation % IP adjustments ht the implied deprecent tate in the year of a tation under NZ IAS16 i uses in the 2009 ba the MVAU method	as perm/temp as perm/temp addition adjustment. U addition or disposal. Prules being higher t and requires the det se year and then rev or CPI. The openin	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Under GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marke ratued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was	k equipment k equipment k equipment kes, there is no depr ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla g the change in the s based on the MVA	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6 (6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60 veciation on assertion assertion assertion assertion assertion assertion assertion assertion assertion on assertion asse
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pr Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory rulu the only exce at 30 June 20	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning or ro completion thereby resulting in deprec (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe as, all assets are initially established at value a value of a value of a value of the value is allowe	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 arition % AP adjustments the implied deprecent the implied deprecent the in the year of a citation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 a the MVAU method ually by the CPI in	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Under GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marke alued annualy usin g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was	k equipment k equipment k equipment ever, assets are dep ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla g the change in the b based on the MVA revalued by indepen	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6((6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60) eciation on asse preciated for partinules. ss of asset. Und CPI index. Land U valuation of lar dent valuers as
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation por Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory rule the only exce at 30 June 200 30 June 200	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning or r of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe es, all assets are initially established at val ption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This land has then been revalued anno- . The revaluation to MVAU (+\$4.407m) n less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010,	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 cation % AP adjustments the implied depresent the Im	as perm/temp as perm/temp ciation adjustment. I addition or disposal. Prules being higher t and requires the det se year and then rev or CPI. The open index increment to 30 ncrease in the MVAI	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Property plant of Pr	k equipment k equipment k equipment ever, assets are dep ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla g the change in the based on the MVA revalued by independ 3 less the opening	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6((6,61 34,79 (2,68) 24,57 58,14 (1,60) reciation on asse reciation on asse reciated for parti- rules. ss of asset. Und CPI index. Land U valuation of lar ndent valuers as a MVAU valuation of lar
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione- use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory ruk the only exce at 30 June 2013 30 June 2019 30 June 2019	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - et ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) as been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of ur of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe es, all assets are initially established at val- ption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This land has then been revalued anno- t. The revaluation to MVAU (+\$4.407m) m less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 cation % AP adjustments the implied depresent the Im	as perm/temp as perm/temp ciation adjustment. I addition or disposal. Prules being higher t and requires the det se year and then rev or CPI. The open index increment to 30 ncrease in the MVAI	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Property plant of Pr	k equipment k equipment k equipment ever, assets are dep ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla g the change in the based on the MVA revalued by independ 3 less the opening	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,79((2,682 24,57(58,144 (1,60) reciation on asse reciation on asse reciated for parti- rules. ss of asset. Under CPI index. Land U valuation of lan- ndent valuers as a MVAU valuation of lan-
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory ruk the only exce at 30 June 200 30 June 2009 The difference	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statement GaAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning or r of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$2,8187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe as, all assets are initially established at value to this rule and can be valued using 09. This land has then been revalued anni b. The revaluations to MVAU (+\$4.407m) n less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, a in such values and prior CPI valuation in te (\$2,682m)	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % IP adjustments ation w/ the implied depred tate in the year of a istation under GAAF d under NZ IAS16 a uses in the 2009 ba the MVAU method usally by the CPI in epresents the net in 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated	as perm/temp as perm/temp as perm/temp addition adjustment. I addition or disposal. rules being higher t and requires the dett se year and then rev or CPI. The openin dex increment to 30 ncrease in the MVAI d as revenue in the y	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marke alued annualy usia g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was	k equipment k equipment k equipment ever, assets are dep ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla g the change in the based on the MVA revalued by indepen 13 less the opening (AU revaluation occo	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,798 (2,682 24,57(58,144 (1,60) veciation on asset reciation on asset reciated for parti- rules. ss of asset. Undu CPI index. Land U valuation of lam ndent valuers as a MVAU valuation of IIIS.
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pr Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissioner use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory rul the only exce at 30 June 203 30 June 203 The differenc: Tax Expense Variances in depreciation	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - et ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) as been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of ur of completion thereby resulting in deprece (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowed es, all assets are initially established at val- ption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This Iand has then been revalued anno the revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in (\$2,582m) fepreciation and revaluations under disclosure.	Is under GAAP te gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ration % UP adjustments the implied depred tate in the year of a rit the implied depred tate in the year of a rit adjustments the WAU method under NZ IAS16 a lues in the 2009 ba the MVAU method ually by the CPI in epresents the net in 2011 and 2012. dexation are treate sure rules comprefine	as perm/temp as perm/temp ciation adjustment. I addition or disposal. rules being higher t and requires the det se year and then rev or CPI. The openin dex increment to 30 ncrease in the MVAI d as revenue in the y tensively alter the re t on ITP works under	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Property plant of Pr	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,79' (2,68: 24,57' 58,14' (1,60' eciation on asse reciated for parti rules. ss of asset. Undi CPI index. Land U valuation of lam dent valuers as MVAU valuation i urs. with the GAAP ta e deductible for tar
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory rule the only exce at 30 June 2009 The difference Tax Expense Variances in of expense whee purposes une	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - et ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer 3AAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of r of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe se, all assets are initially established at val ption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This land has then been revalued anni . The revaluations of MVAU (+\$4.407m) n less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, a in such values and prior CPI valuation in c.{\$26.682m} der GAAP but are incorporated in work for GAP but are incorporated in work assets revaluet on and revaluations under disclosure, for GAP but are incorporated in work	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % AP adjustments the implied depree late in the year of a iation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 ; lues in the 2009 ba the MVAU method wally by the CPI im persents the net if 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated sure rules compref- Lin addition interess (in progress und	as perm/temp as perm/temp ciation adjustment. I addition or disposal. Prules being higher t and requires the det se year and then rev or CPI. The open index increment to 30 ncrease in the MVAI d as revenue in the y tensively after the re- t on ITP works under er information discl	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Property plant of Pr	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,79' (2,68: 24,57' 58,14' (1,60' eciation on asse reciated for parti rules. ss of asset. Undi CPI index. Land U valuation of lam dent valuers as MVAU valuation i urs. with the GAAP ta e deductible for tar
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione- use in the yea Revaluation V Under GAAP, regulatory rul the only exce at 30 June 2009 The difference Tax Expense Variances in of expense whe purposes unic	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of ur of completion thereby resulting in deprec (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe es, all assets are initially established at val- ption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This land has then been revalued anni. . The revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in (\$2.682m) depreciation and revaluations under disclos ne comparing different bases of disclosure. for GAAP but are incorporated in work g. These costs have been excluded from	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % AP adjustments the implied depree late in the year of a iation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 ; lues in the 2009 ba the MVAU method wally by the CPI im persents the net if 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated sure rules compref- Lin addition interess (in progress und	as perm/temp as perm/temp ciation adjustment. I addition or disposal. Prules being higher t and requires the det se year and then rev or CPI. The open index increment to 30 ncrease in the MVAI d as revenue in the y tensively after the re- t on ITP works under er information discl	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Property plant & Property plant & Property plant of Pr	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,79' (2,68: 24,57' 58,14' (1,60' eciation on asse reciated for parti rules. ss of asset. Undi CPI index. Land U valuation of lam dent valuers as MVAU valuation i urs. with the GAAP ta e deductible for tar
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory ruk the only exce at 30 June 200 30 June 2003 The difference Tax Expense Variances in of expense whe purposes unicommissionin Property Plai	nethodology - on additions and disposa - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - et ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer 3AAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of r of completion thereby resulting in depred (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowe se, all assets are initially established at val ption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This land has then been revalued anni . The revaluations of MVAU (+\$4.407m) n less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, a in such values and prior CPI valuation in c.{\$26.682m} der GAAP but are incorporated in work for GAP but are incorporated in work assets revaluet on and revaluations under disclosure, for GAP but are incorporated in work	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % IP adjustments the implied depred tate in the year of a tation under GAAF d under NZ IAS16 a tues in the 2009 ba the MVAU method usalt by the CPI in epresents the net in epresents the net in sure rules compret In addition interess c in progress und this disclosure of ta	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marke ratued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2013 and and June 2014	k equipment k equipment k equipment k equipment k equipment k equipment k equipment ever, assets are dep sts under regulatory t values for each cla g the change in the s based on the MVA revalued by indepen 3 less the opening (AU revaluation occu expense compared ITP design costs are a incorporated in the	GAAP Adjustments 2,30 6((6,61 34,79 (2,68 24,57 58,14 (1,60 4 (1,60 4 58,14 (1,60 4 58,14 (1,60 4 58,14 (1,60 4 58,14 (1,60 4 58,14 (1,60 5 5 8,14 (1,60 5 5 8,14 (1,60 (1,60 (1,70 (1,6
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation c * To correspon Commenta Regulatory // Depreciation This value ha commissione use in the yea Revaluation Under GAAP, regulatory rul the only exce at 30 June 2013 30 June 2009 The difference Tax Expense Variances in expense whe purposes un commissionin Differences in and depreciation	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - et ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer SAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) as been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning or r of completion thereby resulting in deprece (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowed as, all assets are initially established at valiption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This Ind has then been revalued anni. The revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in (\$2,682m) fer GAAP but are incorporated in work g. These costs have been excluded from int & Equipment (\$81.119m) asset values under GAAP when compan- ion since the initial RAB calculation in 200	Is under GAAP le gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAE ars 2009-2013 ars 2009-2014 ars 2009-2014 ars 2009-2014 ars 2009-2014 ars 20	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marker alued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was J as at 30 June 201 year such CPI or MV lative regulatory tax er construction and is e the result of differ mmation of variance	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,79' (2,68: 24,57' 58,14' (1,60' reciated for parti rules. ss of asset. Undo CPI index. Land U valuation of lar mdent valuers as MVAU valuation of lar mdent valuers as MVAU valuation of lar dent valuers as of asset value of a sset value of pr asset valuation to 2013.
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon *	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of the revised to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to MVAU (+\$4.407m) in less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in c (\$2.682m) depreciation and revaluations under disclosure. the GAAP but are incorporated in work g. These costs have been excluded from int & Equipment (\$81.119m) asset values under GAAP when compan- ion since the initial RAB calculation in 200 er Work in Progress nor land held for futur	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % AP adjustments the implied deprecent takes in the year of a citation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 a the MVAU method ually by the CPI im persents the net ii 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated sure rules comprefined in addition intereses (in progress und this disclosure of takes) ed with Information 9. The adjustment re development is ii	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marker alued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was submediate the result of differ mmation of variance RAB calculation wh	k equipment k equi	Adjustments
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation pu Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon *	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - et ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer SAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) as been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning or r of completion thereby resulting in deprece (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allowed as, all assets are initially established at valiption to this rule and can be valued using 09. This Ind has then been revalued anni. The revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in (\$2,682m) fer GAAP but are incorporated in work g. These costs have been excluded from int & Equipment (\$81.119m) asset values under GAAP when compan- ion since the initial RAB calculation in 200	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % AP adjustments the implied deprecent takes in the year of a citation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 a the MVAU method ually by the CPI im persents the net ii 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated sure rules comprefined in addition intereses (in progress und this disclosure of takes) ed with Information 9. The adjustment re development is ii	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marker alued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was submediate the result of differ mmation of variance RAB calculation wh	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,798' (2,682 24,57(58,145 (1,60) reciated for parti- rules. ss of asset. Under CPI index. Land U valuation of lam dent valuers as a MVAU valuestion of lam dent valuers as a MVAU valuation of lam dent valuers as a ins. with the GAAP ta be deductible for ta te asset value construction or asset valuation to 2013.
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation por Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of the revised to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to MVAU (+\$4.407m) in less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in c (\$2.682m) depreciation and revaluations under disclosure. the GAAP but are incorporated in work g. These costs have been excluded from int & Equipment (\$81.119m) asset values under GAAP when compan- ion since the initial RAB calculation in 200 er Work in Progress nor land held for futur	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % AP adjustments the implied deprecent takes in the year of a citation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 a the MVAU method ually by the CPI im persents the net ii 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated sure rules comprefined in addition intereses (in progress und this disclosure of takes) ed with Information 9. The adjustment re development is ii	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marker alued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was submediate the result of differ mmation of variance RAB calculation wh	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,798' (2,682 24,57(58,145 (1,60) reciated for parti- rules. ss of asset. Under CPI index. Land U valuation of lam dent valuers as a MVAU valuestion of lam dent valuers as a MVAU valuation of lam dent valuers as a ins. with the GAAP ta be deductible for ta te asset value construction or asset valuation to 2013.
Depreciation r Sale of assets CPI index reva Revaluation por Tax expense a diffs Land Held for Revaluation va Depreciation of * To correspon * To correspon	nethodology - on additions and disposal - depreciation on disposal increases the aluation and Land under MVAU method er Opus - included under GAAP adjustment due to different calculation of development and Work in Progress - er ariance due to different methods for ye lifferences to date plus changes in alloc d with the clause 8a column Regulatory/GAA ry on the Consolidation Statemer GAAP adjustments (\$2,301m) is been revised to reflect the inclusion of d or disposed of post the commissioning of the revised to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to market value is allower (\$28.187m) assets revalued to market value is allower assets revalued to MVAU (+\$4.407m) in less revaluations at CPI at 30 June 2010, e in such values and prior CPI valuation in c (\$2.682m) depreciation and revaluations under disclosure. the GAAP but are incorporated in work g. These costs have been excluded from int & Equipment (\$81.119m) asset values under GAAP when compan- ion since the initial RAB calculation in 200 er Work in Progress nor land held for futur	Is under GAAP ie gain on sale - excluded under of surplus as well a xcluded from RAB ars 2009-2013 ation % AP adjustments the implied deprecent takes in the year of a citation under GAAP d under NZ IAS16 a the MVAU method ually by the CPI im persents the net ii 2011 and 2012. dexation are treated sure rules comprefined in addition intereses (in progress und this disclosure of takes) ed with Information 9. The adjustment re development is ii	as perm/temp	Item Depreciation Net income Revaluations Revaluations Tax expense Property plant & Duder GAAP how han depreciation co emination of marker alued annually usin g RAB in 2009 was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was June 2012 and was submediate the result of differ mmation of variance RAB calculation wh	k equipment k equi	GAAP Adjustments 2,30' 6((6,61' 34,798' (2,682 24,57(58,145 (1,60) reciated for parti- rules. ss of asset. Under CPI index. Land U valuation of lam dent valuers as a MVAU valuestion of lam dent valuers as a MVAU valuation of lam dent valuers as a ins. with the GAAP ta be deductible for ta te asset value construction or asset valuation to 2013.

			Regula	ted Airport	Christc	hurch Interi	national Airp	ort Ltd
0.01		ALLOCATIONS	For Y	ear Ended		30 Jur	ne 2013	
	IEDULE 9: REPORT ON ASSET Version 2.0	ALLOCATIONS						
6	9a: Asset Allocations							(\$000)
			Specified Terminal	Airfield	Aircraft and Freight	Airport	Unregulated	
7 8	Land		Activities	Activities	Activities	Business	Component	Total
9	Directly attributable assets		-	87,439	4,936	92,376		92,376
10 11	Assets not directly attributable Total value land		1,193	342	24	1,558 93,934	994	2,552
12	Sealed Surfaces						-	
13 14	Directly attributable assets Assets not directly attributable		_	107,801	_	107,801		107,801
14	Total value sealed surfaces			_		- 107,801		_
16	Infrastructure and Buildings		·				г	
17 18	Directly attributable assets Assets not directly attributable		41,510 215,229	4,215 4,196	8,378 1,232	54,102 220,657	65,852	54,102 286,509
19	Total value infrastructure and l	buildings	210,223	ч, 130	1,202	274,759	00,002	200,000
20	Vehicles, Plant and Equipment						_	
21	Directly attributable assets		979	4,400	33	5,412	0.054	5,412
22 23	Assets not directly attributable Total value vehicles, plant and	equipment	1,725	842	138	2,705 8,117	2,954	5,659
24			40,400	000.055	40.047		Г	050.004
25 26	Total directly attributable assets Total assets not directly attributal	ble	42,489 218,147	203,855 5,379	13,347 1,394	259,691 224,920	69,799	259,691 294,719
27	Total assets		260,636	209,234	14,741	484,611	69,799	554,410
20	Asset Allocators							
28	Asset Allocators		Allocator					
29	Asset Category	Allocator*	Туре		Rationale		Asset Lin	e Items
30	Administration assets	Management and administration payroll \$	Proxy Cost Allocator	Administration asse management and a	ets are predominantly administration staff	utilised by	Infrastructure & Build Plant & Equipment	lings, venicles,
31	Maintenance assets	Company asset values	Proxy Cost Allocator	Maintenance asset company assets	s are used to maintair	the existing	Land, Infrastructure Vehicles, Plant & Equ	
				Assets that service	all of the terminal are	to be allocated		
			Proxy Cost	over the total termin	nal area. Analysis of tical areas is deemed	he terminal floor	Land, Infrastructure	& Buildings,
32	Terminal - Total	Floor area	Allocator		assets that relate to		Vehicles, Plant & Eq	uipment
				allocated over the t	all of the regional lou otal regional lounge a	rea. Analysis of		
33	Regional lounge - Total	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator	the regional lounge deemed to be a fair to the regional lo	floor space into aero r allocator of terminal	autical areas is assets that relate	Land, Infrastructure	8 Ruildings
33	Regional lounge - Total		Anocator	to the regionario			Land, Initastructure	x Duildings
					all of the internationa			
			Proxy Cost	Analysis of the inte	rnational terminal floo is deemed to be a fail	r space into	Land, Infrastructure	& Buildings, Plant
34	International terminal - Total	Floor area	Allocator	terminal assets that	t rela		& Equipment	
			Draw Cost	international basem	ssets that are located nent are allocated acc	ording to	Lond Inferstment	Puildings Diret
35	Terminal - International Basement	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator	international basem non-aeronautical	nent floor space split i	nto aeronautical /	Land, Infrastructure & Equipment	& Buildings, Plant
				International groun	ssets that are located d floor are allocated a	ccording to		
36	Terminal - International Ground Floor	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator		d floor space split into		Land, Infrastructure & Equipment	& Buildings, Plant
					ssets that are located			
37	Terminal - International First Floor	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator		oor are allocated acco oor space split into ae		Land, Infrastructure & Equipment	& Buildings, Plant
37	rominar - international FIISt FIOU	Floor area	AIUUAUU		ssets that are located	on the	a Equipment	
			Proxy Cost		d floor are allocated a d floor space split into		Land, Infrastructure	& Buildings, Plant
38	Terminal - International Second Floor	Floor area	Allocator	non-aeronautical			& Equipment	
				allocated over the t	all of the integrated to otal integrated terminar rminal floor space into	al area. Analysis		
39	Terminal - Integrated total	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator		be a fair allocator of		Land, Infrastructure	& Buildings
		n						

		For	ated Airport Christchurch Inter Year Ended 30 Jun	national Airport Lto ne 2013
DULE 9: REPORT ON ASSE rsion 2.0	T ALLOCATIONS (con	nt)		
Asset Allocators (cont)				
· · ·		Allocator		
Asset Category	Allocator*	Туре	Rationale	Asset Line Items
1			Specific terminal assets that are located in the integrated terminal in the basement are allocated according to	
	-	Proxy Cost	integrated terminal floor space split into aeronautical / non-	
Terminal - Integrated Basement	Floor area	Allocator	aeronautical	Land, Infrastructure & Building
			Specific terminal assets that are located in the integrated terminal on the ground floor are allocated according to	
Terminal - Integrated Ground Floor	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator	integrated terminal floor space split into aeronautical / non- aeronautical	Land, Infrastructure & Building
			Specific terminal assets that are located in the integrated	
		Proxy Cost	terminal on the mezzanine floor are allocated according to integrated terminal floor space split into aeronautical / non-	
Terminal - Integrated Mezzanine Floor	Floor area	Allocator	aeronautical	Land, Infrastructure & Building
Terminal - Integrated First Floor	Floor area	Proxy Cost Allocator	terminal on the first floor are allocated according to integrated terminal floor space split into aeronautical / non-	Land, Infrastructure & Building
		Proxy Cost	terminal on the second floor are allocated according to	
Terminal - Integrated Second Floor	Floor area	Allocator	integrated terminal floor space split into aeronautical / non- Assets that are used solely for specified terminal acitivites	Land, Infrastructure & Buildings Land, Infrastructure & Buildings
Terminal - Non-contestable	Direct cost	Relationship	Assets that are used solely for specified terminal activities are allocated 100% to this segment	Vehicles, Plant & Equipment
		Causal	Assets that are used solely for specified airfield activities	Land, Sealed Surfaces, Infrast & Buildings, Vehicles, Plant &
Airfield - Non-contestable	Direct cost	Relationship	are allocated 100% to this segment	Equipment
Aircraft & Ernight Man contract-to-	Direct cost	Causal Relationship	Assets that are used solely for Aircraft and Freight	Land, Infrastructure & Building
Aircraft & Freight - Non-contestable	Direct COSt	[Select one]	activities are allocated 100% to this segment	Vehicles, Plant & Equipment
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]	l	
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]	1	
		[Select one]	1	
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]	4	
		[Select one] [Select one]	1	
		[Select one]	<u> </u>	
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]	╢	
	-	[Select one] [Select one]	1	
		[Select one]	1	
		[Select one]		
	11	[Select one]	-II	(I

	Regulated AirportChristchurch International Airport LtdFor Year Ended30 June 2013					
	HEDULE 9: REPORT ON ASSET	ALLOCATIONS (cont)				
	Version 2.0 9b: Notes to the Report					
115	9b(i): Changes in Asset Allocat	ors				
116 117					Effect of Change	(\$000) e
					Current Year	
118 119	Asset category		1	CY-1	(CY)	CY+1
120 121	Original allocator or components New allocator or components		Original New			
122	Rationale		Difference	-	-	-
123 124	Asset category		1			
125	Original allocator or components		Original			
126 127	New allocator or components Rationale		New Difference	_	_	_
128 129	Asset category		1			
130	Original allocator or components		Original			
131 132	New allocator or components Rationale		New Difference	-	_	_
133						
134 135	Asset category Original allocator or components		Original			
136 137	New allocator or components Rationale		New Difference			
138						
139 140	Asset category Original allocator or components		Original			
141	New allocator or components		New			
142 143	Rationale		Difference			
144 145	Asset category Original allocator or components		Original			
145	New allocator or components		New			
147 148	Rationale		Difference	-	_	_
149	Asset category					
150 151	Original allocator or components New allocator or components		Original New			
152	Rationale		Difference	-	-	
153	Commentary on Asset Allocations					
154 155	Changes in Asset Allocators	cators for the years ended 2011, 2012 and 2013. Accordin	alv schedule 9h	o(i) has not been	completed	
156			3.)	()		
157 158	Overview:					
159		ed to the relevant specified airport activities based on direct			0	
160 161		ver that do not directly relate to one individual segment and ated to the regulatory asset segment according to the relevant			s. e.g. Infrastruc	cture assets.
162 163	The various asset allocation drivers described in the schedule above.	have been determined based on the use of the asset, with	the causal allo	cators and the ra	ationale for calcu	ulation
164	uescribeu in the schedule above.					
165 166	Changing Terminal Footprint					
167 168	In 2012, the integrated terminal ass was commissioned.	ets were allocated according to the terminal footprint in use	e from 31 March	n 2012 when Sta	ge II of the integ	rated terminal
169	The integrated terminal was comple	ted and commissioned at the end of March 2013. The total	I value of this a	sset was then all	ocated on the fo	ollowing basis;
170 171		integrated terminal was determined with assets identified Ds, NIGs and Aerobridges, being classified as specified ac				such as
172 173		en allocated according to the completed terminal footprint				
174 175 176		re were determined, the existing asset value allocated at 30 with the residual "new assets" being allocated to the specif				
177 178 179		nad been allocated on a simple footprint basis this required e 4.	some reallocat	tion of costs betw	veen activities in	2013. These
180 181 182		national terminal have now been included in specified termi reviously these assets had incorrectly been classified as n			ine lounges, cor	nsistent with
183						Page 16

	Regulated Airport Christchurch International Airport Ltd For Year Ended 30 June 2013 SCHEDULE 10: REPORT ON COST ALLOCATIONS							ort Ltd	
		SULE 10: REPORT ON COST A	LLOCATIONS						
6	10a	: Cost Allocations							(\$000)
7				Specified Terminal Activities	Airfield Activities	Aircraft and Freight Activities	Airport Business	Unregulated Component	Total
8 9		Corporate Overheads Directly attributable operating costs			2,278	146	3,561	[3,561
10 11		Costs not directly attributable Asset Management and Airport (Operations	5,297	684	52	6,032	3,699	9,731
12		Directly attributable operating co		3,686	6,814	479	10,979		10,979
13 14		Costs not directly attributable Asset Maintenance		6,965	315	31	7,311	16,038	23,349
15		Directly attributable operating co	osts	92	275	46	413	1.005	413
16 17		Costs not directly attributable		1,624	505	37	2,166	1,965	4,131
18 19		Total directly attributable costs Total costs not directly attributable	e	4,916 13,886	9,367 1,504	670 120	14,953 15,509	21,701	14,953 37,211
20		Total operating costs	-	18,802	10,870	790	30,462	21,701	52,163
21		Cost Allocators							
				Allocator					
22		Operating Cost Category	Allocator*	Туре	E (1) (1) (1) (1)	Rationale		Operating Co	
23		Management Payroll	Staff time	Causal Relationship	activities	me spent on regulated		Asset management operations, corporat	e overheads
24		Admin Payroll	Staff time	Causal Relationship	Estimate of staff ti activities	me spent on regulated	d and unregulated	Asset management operations, corporat	
25		Airport services payroll	Staff time	Causal Relationship	Estimate of staff ti activities	me spent on regulated	d and unregulated	Asset management operations	& airport
26		Supervisors payroll	Staff time	Causal Relationship	Estimate of staff ti activities	me spent on regulated	d and unregulated	Asset maintenance	
27		Incentives	Revenue generated by aircraft, passenger service and concession charges for the year	Causal Relationship	give rise to increas	protion and Airline ind ed Pax numbers shou genrated by those Pa	uld be allocated by		
28		Promotions	Revenue generated by aircraft, passenger service and concession charges for the year	Causal Relationship	give rise to increas	protion and Airline ind ed Pax numbers shou genrated by those Pa	uld be allocated by		
29		Regulatory advice	RAB Asset values	Proxy Cost Allocator	RAB asset values driver	by segment is deeme	ed to be a suitable	itable Asset management & airport operations	
30		Administration costs	Proportion of direct admin costs	Proxy Cost Allocator		e administration costs in-direct administratio		Corporate overhead management and ai	rport operations
31		Maintenance costs	Proportion of direct maintenance costs	Proxy Cost Allocator		e maintenance costs in-direct maintenance		Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance	
32		International terminal	Floor space	Proxy Cost Allocator	international termin	contestable floor space nal is deemed to be a nal cost allocations		Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance	
33		Integrated Terminal	Floor space	Proxy Cost Allocator		contestable floor space is deemed to be a su cost allocations		Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance	
34		Regional Lounge	Floor space	Proxy Cost Allocator	regional lounge is o regional lounge co		ble driver of	Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance	rport operations,
35		Total terminal	Floor space	Proxy Cost Allocator		oor space split into co is deemed to be a suit st allocations		Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance	rport operations,
36		Terminal - Non-contestable	Direct cost	Causal Relationship	P&L directly attributable to specified terminal activites is allocated 100% to this segment			Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance	rport operations,
37		Airfield - Non-contestable	Direct cost	Causal Relationship	P&L directly attributable to specified airfield activities is allocated 100% to this segment			Corporate overhead management and ai asset maintenance Corporate overhead	rport operations,
38 39		Aircraft & Freight - Non-contestable	Direct cost	Causal Relationship [Select one]	P&L directly attributable to Aircraft and Freight activities m			management and ai asset maintenance	
39 40				[Select one]	┨				
41				[Select one] [Select one]					
42 43				[Select one]					
44 45				[Select one]					Page 23

		Regulated Ai For Year E	nded	h International Airport Ltd 30 June 2013
OULE 10: REPORT ON COST AL	LOCATIONS (cont)	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
sion 2.0 Cost Allocators (cont)				
		Allocator		
Operating Cost Category	Allocator*	Type [Select one]	Rationale	Operating Cost Line It
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one] [Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		
		[Select one]		

		Regulated Airport For Year Ended	Christ		rnational Airp ne 2013	ort Ltd
	HEDULE 10: REPORT ON COST A	LLOCATIONS (cont)				
ref 127	10b: Notes to the Report					
128	10b(i): Changes in Cost Allocate	rs				
129 130					Effect of Change	(\$000)
					Current Year	
131 132	Operating cost category]	CY-1	(CY)	CY+1
133 134	Original allocator or components New allocator or components		Original New			
135 136	Rationale		Difference	_	-	-
137 138	Operating cost category Original allocator or components		Original		1 1	
139	New allocator or components		New			
140 141	Rationale		Difference			_
142 143	Operating cost category Original allocator or components		Original			
144 145	New allocator or components Rationale		New Difference			_
146 147	Operating cost category]			
148	Original allocator or components		Original			
149 150	New allocator or components Rationale		New Difference	_	_	_
151 152	Operating cost category]			
153 154	Original allocator or components New allocator or components		Original New			
155	Rationale		Difference	-	-	-
156 157	Operating cost category]			
158 159	Original allocator or components New allocator or components		Original New			
160 161	Rationale		Difference	_	-	-
162	Operating cost category		Original			
163 164	Original allocator or components New allocator or components		Original New			
165	Rationale		Difference			-
166 167	Commentary on Cost Allocations					
168	CIAL has used the same cost and	ators for the years ended 2011, 2012 and 2013. Accordin	gly schedule 10)b(i) has not bee	en completed.	
169 170	Cost Allocation Process:	es all income and expenses are allocated to the relevant	specified airpo	ort activity and c	commercial catego	ories
171 172	Many income and expense items	will be directly related to the categories whilst others must categories are the two "overhead" type categories, and C	be allocated ba	ised on some fo	orm of causal alloc	cator.
173 174		thereby minimise the value of final allocation wherever po				
175 176	Step One: Direct Costs All income and expense items are	reviewed to ensure any costs that can be directly attribute	d are allocated	wherever possil	ole.	
177 178 179	Step Two: Review Costs for Ca All remaining income and expens manually. The causal allocators u	e items are then reviewed with any costs that can be allow	cated based on	a causal relati	onship being alloo	cated
180	Step Three: Run Cost Allocation					
181 182	The cost allocation model then a	locates the residual values in the administration, mainten e business. The allocators for 2013 and their rationale for a			between the spec	cified
183 184	2013 Terminal Cost Allocations) the first !!	dina footo i i	long of the	lated
185		tion of the integrated terminal at the end of March 2013 isis for the 2013 cost allocation process.	5, the tinal buil	ung tootprint p	ians of the comp	nerea
186 187						
188 189						
190 191						
192 193						Page 25

	Regulated Airport For Year Ended	Christchur	rch International 30 June 2013	Airport Ltd
SCH	EDULE 11: REPORT ON RELIABILITY MEASURES			
ref	Version 2.0			
6	Runway	Number	Total D	
	The number and duration of interruptions to runway(s) during disclosure year by party		Hours	Minutes
7	primarily responsible			1
8	Airports	-	-	-
9	Airlines/Other		-	
10	Undetermined reasons	-	_	-
11	Total	_	:	
12	Taxiway			
12				
13	The number and duration of interruptions to taxiway(s) during disclosure year by party primarily responsible			
14	Airports	_	_	_
15	Airlines/Other	_	_	_
16	Undetermined reasons	-	-	-
17	Total	-		-
18	Remote stands and means of embarkation/disembarkation			
	The number and duration of interruptions to remote stands and means of			
19	embarkation/disembarkation during disclosure year by party primarily responsible	·	·	
20	Airports	-	-	_
21	Airlines/Other	-	-	_
22	Undetermined reasons	-	_	-
23	Total	-	:	-
	Oraște et alea and eideridare			
24	Contact stands and airbridges			
	The number and duration of interruptions to contact stands during disclosure year by			
25	party primarily responsible	7	4	45
26 27	Airports Airlines/Other	9	5	45
27	Undetermined reasons	4	2	-
29	Total	20	12	30
			. <u></u>	
30	Baggage sortation system on departures			
	The number and duration of interruptions to baggage sortation system on departures			
31	during disclosure year by party primarily responsible			
32	Airports	4	4	21
33	Airlines/Other	1	-	17
34	Undetermined reasons	-	_	-
35	Total	5	4	38
36	Baggage reclaim belts			
	The number and duration of interruptions to baggage reclaim belts during disclosure			
37	year by party primarily responsible			
38	Airports	-		_
39	Airlines/Other			
40 41	Undetermined reasons Total	_		_
41		-		-
42	On-time departure delay			
72	The total number of flights affected by on time departure delay and the total duration of			
43	the delay during disclosure year by party primarily responsible			
44	Airports	15	6	07
45	Airlines/Other	7	4	09
46	Undetermined reasons	3	1	33
47	Total	25	11	49
48				Page 26

	Regulated Airport Christchurch International Airport Ltd	
	For Year Ended 30 June 2013	
sc	EDULE 11: REPORT ON RELIABILITY MEASURES (cont)	
ref	Version 2.0	
55	Fixed electrical ground power availability (if applicable)	
56	The percentage of time that FEGP is unavailable due to interruptions* N/A	
	* Disclosure of FEGP information applies only to airports where fixed electrical ground power is available.	
57		
58	Commentary concerning reliability measures	7
59	Determining Responsibility and Validity of Interruptions	
60 61	CIAL operations staff record all interruption data in a database. This is completed at the time the interruption occurs and includes	
62	full details of the interruption including an assessment of the party responsible.	
63	This data is then reviewed by the CIAL Operations Manager to ensure it meets the relevant criteria for schedule 11 in accordance	
64	with the definitions detailed in the Determination. This review also includes a review of the party responsible for the interruption and	
65	includes discussion with other internal and external parties where necessary.	
66		
67	Operational Improvements	
68	Interruptions are discussed when appropriate with relevant parties/forums as disclosed in schedule 15. Potential improvements and	
69	strategies are also discussed amongst these groups.	
70	On Time Departure Delay	
71	On Time Departure Delay CIAL requires the input from Airlines to report the on time departure delay information. This year all but one airline has provided this	
72	data to CIAL. For the airline not providing this information CIAL assessed the relevant information using FIDs This information has	
73	been compared with CIAL's records to ensure completeness. Any on time performance issues were discussed with the individual airlines as and when it occurs and corrective action is commenced in order to reduce the occurrence of these events. This	
74	information has been agregated for this report.	
75		
76		
77 78		
10		-
	Must include information on how the responsibility for interruptions is determined and the processes the Airport has put in place for undertaking any operational improvement in	
79 80	respect of reliability. If interruptions are categorised as "occurring for undetermined reasons", the reasons for inclusion in this category must be disclosed.	7

			Regulated Airport	Christchurch Intern		
			For Year Ended	30 Jun		
	EDULE 12: REPORT ON CAPA	CITY UTILISATION INDIC	ATORS FOR AIRCRAFT	AND FREIGHT ACTIVIT	IES AND AIRFIELD	
	IVITIES Version 2.0					
6	Runway		Runway #1	Dumunu #2	Dumurau #2	
7	Description of runway(s)	Designations	02-20	Runway #2 11-29	Runway #3 N/A	
9		Length of pavement (m)	3,288	1,741	N/A	
10		Width (m)	45	45	N/A	
11		Shoulder width (m)	8	N/A	N/A	
12 13		Runway code ILS category	4E Category I	4E N/A	N/A N/A	
15	Declared runway capacity for	VMC (movements per hour)	42	38	N/A	
16	specified meteorological	IMC (movements per hour)	38	28	N/A	
17	condition					
18	Taxiway					
19			Taxiway #1	Taxiway #2	Taxiway #3	
20 21	Description of main taxiway(s)	Name Length (m)	Alpha 2,996	Echo 785	Foxtrot 695	
21		Width (m)	2,996	23	23	
23		Status	Full length	Part length	Part length	
24		Number of links	6	1	1	
25	Aircraft parking stands					
26	Number of apron stands available	e during the runway busy day cat	egorised by stand description a	and primary flight category		
27			Contact stand-airbridge	Contact stand-walking	Remote stand-bus	
28	Air passenger services	International	8	2	3	
29 30		Domestic jet Domestic turboprop	4	1 10	-	
31	Total parking stands	Domestic taboprop	12	13	3	
32	Busy periods for runway movem	ents	Dete			
33 34		Runway busy day	Date 15 March 2013			
35		Runway busy hour start time				
36		(day/month/year hour)	4 Nov 2012 6 p.m.			
37	Aircraft movements					
38	Number of aircraft runway moven	nents during the runway busy da	y with air passenger service flig	hts categorised by stand desc	ription and flight category	
39			Contact stand-airbridge	Contact stand-walking	Remote stand—bus	Total
40	Air passenger services	International	23	-	-	23
41 42		Domestic jet Domestic turboprop	68	 116	-	68 116
43		Total	91	116	-	207
45	Other (including General Aviation	on)				-
47	Total aircraft movements during the	he runway busy day				207
48						
49	Number of aircraft runway moven	nents during the runway busy				
50	hour		22			
51	Commentary concerning capacit	y utilisation indicators for airc	raft and freight activities and	airfield activities		
52	Parking Stand Assumptions:					
53	- Turboprop aircraft = Contact :	stand - walking				
54 55	 Domestic jet = Contact stand 	- airbridge				
56		- walking				
57	 International flights = Contact 	stand - airbridge				
58 59	In addition CIAL has 14 remote	e stands that are used primaril	y for freight, and servicing the	e Antarctic operations. These	are	
60	some distance from the passer		J. J			
61	_					
62	Runway CIAL has two runways; the ma	in runway and the cross wind	runway. The cross wind run	way is used during specific N	lorth	
63 64	West wind weather conditions			.,		
65	CIAL is not constrained by any		monitoring the noise contou	rs to ensure the continuance	of a	
66	24 hour, 7 day a week operation	n capability.				
67						
68 69						
69 70						
71						
72						Page 28

	Regulated Airport	Christchur	ch International A	Airport Ltd
	For Year Ended		30 June 2013	
sc	HEDULE 13: REPORT ON CAPACITY UTILISATION INDICATORS FOR SPEC	IFIED PASSENGER	TERMINAL ACTIV	ITIES
ref	Version 2.0			
6	Outbound (Departing) Passengers	International terminal	Domestic terminal	Common area [†]
7	Landside circulation (outbound)			
8	Passenger busy hour for landside circulation (outbound)—start time			
9	(day/month/year hour)	28 Apr 2013 3 p.m.	14 Dec 2012 8 a.m.	8 Jan 2013 4 p.m.
10	Floor space (m [®])	262	607	2,356
11	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	672	828	1,187
12	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ³)	256	136	50
13	Check-in			
14	Passenger busy hour for check-in—start time (day/month/year hour)	N/A	N/A	8 Jan 2013 4 p.m.
15	Floor space (m ⁸)	N/A	N/A	2,527
16	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	N/A	N/A	1,187
17	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ³)	N/A	N/A	47
18	Baggage (outbound)			
19	Passenger busy hour for baggage (outbound)—start time (day/month/year hour)	N/A	N/A	8 Jan 2013 4 p.m.
20	Make-up area floor space (m [®])	N/A	N/A	5,033
21	Notional capacity during the passenger busy hour (bags/hour)*	N/A	N/A	2,400
22	Bags processed during the passenger busy hour (bags/hour)*	N/A	N/A	365
23	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	N/A	N/A	1,187
24	Utilisation (% of processing capacity)	N/A	N/A	15%
25	* Please describe in the capacity utilisation indicators commentary box how notional capacity and bags throughpu	t have been assessed.		
26 27	Passport control (outbound)			
27	Passenger busy hour for passport control (outbound)—start time (day/month/year hour)	28 Apr 2013 3 p.m.		
29	Floor space (m [®])	489		
30	Number of emigration booths and kiosks	10		
31	Notional capacity during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour) *	823		
32	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	672		
33	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ³)	137		
34	Utilisation (% of processing capacity)	82%		
35	* Please describe in the capacity utilisation indicators commentary box how the notional capacity has been assess			
00				
36 37	Security screening Passanger busy hour for security screening—start time (day/month/year bour)	28 Apr 2013 3 p.m.	14 Dec 2012 8 a.m.	
37	Passenger busy hour for security screening—start time (day/month/year hour) Facilities for passengers excluding international transit & transfer	20 Apr 2013 3 p.III.	17 DOG 2012 0 a.III.	
38 39	Floor space (m ³)	512	135	
		312	3	
40	Number of screening points	810	810	
41	Notional capacity during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour) *	672	810	
42 43	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour) Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m)	131	613	
		83%	102%	
44	Utilisation (% of processing capacity)	83%	102%	
45 46	Facilities for international transit & transfer passengers Floor space (m ³)	49		
40 47	Number of screening points	45		
	Notional capacity during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)*	270		
48 49	Notional capacity during the passenger busy nour (passengers/nour)	270		
50	Estimated passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	_		
51	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ³)	-		
52	Utilisation (% of processing capacity)	-		
53	* Please describe in the capacity utilisation indicators commentary box how the notional capacity has been assess	sed.		
54				Page 29

	Regulated Airport For Year Ended	Christchur	ch International Ai 30 June 2013	rport Ltd
	EDULE 13: REPORT ON CAPACITY UTILISATION INDICATORS FOR SPEC	IFIED PASSENGER		IES (cont 1)
		International		Common
51	Aircide airculation (outbound)	terminal	Domestic terminal	area [†]
62 63	Airside circulation (outbound)			
53 54	Passenger busy hour for airside circulation (outbound)—start time (day/month/year hour)	28 Apr 2013 3 p.m.	14 Dec 2012 8 a.m.	
55	Floor space (m ⁸)	1,389	1,730	
56	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	672	828	
7	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ¹)	48	48	
8	Departure lounges			
9	Passenger busy hour for departure lounges-start time (day/month/year hour)	28 Apr 2013 3 p.m.	14 Dec 2012 8 a.m.	
0	Floor space (m [°])	4,656	1,946	
1	Number of seats	785	618	
2	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	672	828	
3	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ²)	14	43	
4	Utilisation (passengers per seat)	0.9	1.3	
5	Inbound (Arriving) Passengers			
6	Airside circulation (inbound)			
7	Passenger busy hour for airside circulation (inbound)—start time			
3	(day/month/year hour)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m.	18 Mar 2013 9 a.m.	N//
9	Floor space (m ²)	3,756	1,713	N//
1	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	647	837	N//
	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ⁸)	17	49	N//
2	Passport control (inbound)			
	Passenger busy hour for passport control (inbound)—start time			
ŧ	(day/month/year hour)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m.		
	Floor space (m [*])	1,113		
6	Number of immigration booths and kiosks	24		
7	Notional capacity during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour) *	850		
3	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	647		
9	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ²)	58 76%		
) 1	Utilisation (% of processing capacity) Please describe in the capacity utilisation indicators commentary box how the notional capacity has been assess 			
2	Landside circulation (inbound)			
3	Passenger busy hour for landside circulation (inbound)—start time			
ı	(day/month/year hour)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m.	18 Mar 2013 9 a.m.	22 Aug 2012 2 p.r
5	Floor space (m [®])	133	607	2,12
6 7	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour) Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ³)	647 486	837 138	1,15 5
3	Baccace reclaim			
,	Passenger busy hour for baggage reclaim—start time (day/month/year hour)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m.	18 Mar 2013 9 a.m.	
	Floor space (m [®])	4,166	3,153	
	Number of reclaim units	4	4	
	Notional reclaim unit capacity during the passenger busy hour (bags/hour)*	5,400	5,400	
	Bags processed during the passenger busy hour (bags/hour)*	453	502	
	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	647	837	
	Utilisation (% of processing capacity)	8%	9%	
	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ⁴) * Please describe in the capacity utilisation indicators commentary box how notional capacity and bags throughput	16 t have been assessed.	27	
	Bio-security screening and inspection and customs secondary inspection			
	Passenger busy hour for bio-security screening and inspection and			
	customs secondary inspection—start time (day/month/year hour)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m.		
	Floor space (m ³)	974		
	Notional MAF secondary screening capacity during the passenger busy hour	900		
	(passengers/hour)*			
1	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	647		
	Utilisation (% of processing capacity)	72%		
	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m ³) * Please describe in the capacity utilisation indicators commentary box how the notional capacity has been assess	66 sed.		
	Arrivals concourse			
3	Arrivals concourse Passenger busy hour for arrivals concourse—start time (day/month/year hour)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m.	18 Mar 2013 9 a.m.	N//
,	Floor space (m ³)	9 Jan 2013 2 p.m. 1,664	18 Mar 2013 9 a.m. 180	N//
,	Passenger throughput during the passenger busy hour (passengers/hour)	647	837	N//
	Utilisation (busy hour passengers per 100m)	39	465	N/#
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Page 3

		Regulated Airport For Year Ended	Christchur	ch International A 30 June 2013	Airport Ltd
_		DULE 13: REPORT ON CAPACITY UTILISATION INDICATORS FOR SPECI	FIED PASSENGER		ITIES (cont 2)
ref	Vers	sion 2.0			
130			International terminal	Domestic terminal	Common area [†]
131		Total terminal functional areas providing facilities and service directly for passengers		40.070	10.040
132 133		Floor space (m [®]) Number of working baggage trolleys available for passenger use	19,163	10,070	12,040
134		at end of disclosure year	450	170	280
135		Commentary concerning capacity utilisation indicators for Passenger Terminal Activiti	es		
136 137		CIAL operates an Integrated Domestic and International check-in facility and bagg utilisation figures above.	gage handling system	. This is reflected in	the common area
138 139 140		Passenger data is obtained from a combination of customs, airlines and FID's (Fligh hour/day information and corresponding passenger throughput.	t Information Display)	data. This is then used	d to calculate busy
141 142		These data sources are considered materially accurate.			
143 144		Source of Data for Capacity Calculations:			
145		Security Screening			
146		The notional capacity has been based on Aviation Security National standards of 270 p	bax per hour per x-ray	unit.	
147 148		Security Screening International Transit/Transfer numbers are not collected by CIAL.			
149		Bio-Security			
150 151		The Notional capacity figures were sourced from the AIRBIZ capacity and utilisat discussions with the Commerce Commission and Airlines	ion study dated 14 M	ay 2010 which was co	ommissioned after
152					
153 154		Trolleys Trolley allocation is based on Company figures and internal policy.			
155		There's anotation is based on company ingules and internal policy.			
156		Baggage Handling			
157 158		CIAL operates an Integrated Domestic and International check-in facility and baggage	handling system. The	Integrated baggage ha	andling system has
159		a notional capacity of 40 bags per minute or 2400 per hour. The number of bags processed during the busy hour have been supplied by the opera	tors of the Baggage sy	stem, who manage this	for CIAL under an
160 161		outsourced service provision contract.			
162 163 164		As the busy hour includes the departure of international flights, the number of bags international flights. For operational reasons bags for international flights are proce assessment of the number of bags handled for the passengers processed during the hours prior to the busy hour. The number of bags were 679 and 701 respectfully.	ssed in the 2 hours p	prior to departure. A m	ore representative
165		Baggage Reclaim			
166 167		Baggage system notional capacity numbers have been calculated from figures supplie	d by the system supplie	er, Glidepath.	
168		Notional capacity is however reduced by the recirculation rate (25% approx.) of bags re	-		
169 170 171		At this time actual baggage reclaim figures are not recorded by the system and a approximate bags per passenger figures.	gain the bags proces	sed have been estimat	ted based on
172		Passport Control			
173		International Departures			
174 175		There are 3 double booths, 4 kiosks and 2 gates servicing International Departures.			
176		International Arrivals			
177 178		There were 6 double booths and 12 kiosks. There are a further 4 Smart Gate gates	implemented in conju	unction with Customs to	o improve the
179		efficiency of the passenger facilitation process.			
180 181		The maximum capacity numbers have not changed since 2011 and were obtained from	n the Customs Workfor	ce Planner via a simula	alion model.
181		Seating			
183		Numbers listed include General, Food Court and Tenancy seats.			
184 185					
186		Floor Space The terminal floor space is based on the relevant terminal spatial maps produced by C	NAL Following the cor	polotion of the terminal	
187 188		of the terminal was carried out to provide a final summary of the commissioned termi	nal. This resulted in so		
189		classified as Common area (available for both International and Domestic passengers)			
190 191					
191 192					
193					
194 195					
196					
197 198		Commentary must include an assessment of the accuracy of the passenger data used to prepare the utilisation ind	icators.		
199 200		[†] For functional components which are normally shared by passengers on international and domestic aircraft.			Page 31
200					Page 31

		urch Interna 30 June		ort Ltd				
сн	EDULE 14: REPORT ON PASSENGER SATI		Year Ended					
	ersion 2.0	SFACTION INDIC	ATORS					
6	Survey organisation							
7	Survey organisation used		ACI					
3 9	If "Other", please specify							
,	Passenger satisfaction survey score							
1	(average quarterly rating by service item)							
2	Domestic terminal	Quarte	r 1	2	3	4	Annual average	
ı	Ease of finding your way through an airport		3.9	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.	
	Ease of making connections with other flights		4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.	
	Flight information display screens		4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.	
	Walking distance within and/or between terminals		3.9	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.	
	Availability of baggage carts/trolleys		4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.	
	Courtesy, helpfulness of airport staff (excluding che	eck-in and security)	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.	
1	Availability of washrooms/toilets		4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.	
	Cleanliness of washrooms/toilets		4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.	
	Comfort of waiting/gate areas		3.8	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.	
	Cleanliness of airport terminal		4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.	
	Ambience of the airport		4.0	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.	
	Security inspection waiting time		4.3	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.	
	Check-in waiting time		4.4	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.	
ĺ	Feeling of being safe and secure		4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.	
	Average survey score		4.1	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.	
	International terminal	Quarte	r 1	2	3	4	Annual average	
	Ease of finding your way through an airport		4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.	
	Ease of making connections with other flights							
	Flight information display screens		4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.	
	Walking distance within and/or between terminals		4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.	
	Availability of baggage carts/trolleys		4.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.	
	Courtesy, helpfulness of airport staff (excluding che	eck-in and security)	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.	
	Availability of washrooms/toilets		4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.	
	Cleanliness of washrooms/toilets		4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.	
	Comfort of waiting/gate areas		4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.	
	Cleanliness of airport terminal		4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.	
	Ambience of the airport		4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.	
	Passport and visa inspection waiting time		4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.	
	Security inspection waiting time		4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.	
	Check-in waiting time		4.3	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.	
	Feeling of being safe and secure		4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.	
	Average survey score		4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.	
	The margin of error requirement specified in clause 2.4(3)(c) of conform to the margina of error requirement.	the determination applies	only to the combined o	uarterly survey resul	ts for the disclosure	year. Quarterly i	results may no	
	Commentary concerning report on passenger sat	isfaction indicators						
	CIAL monitors passenger experience rating using the							
	results of the passenger satisfaction survey, are ou responses. The survey data did not include any score							
	These results reflect the passenger perception of the	-		-	•			
	review of the condition and ambience of the domestic Integrated terminal project. The results of these sur parties. Examples of these initiatives are included on s	c terminal. The improv veys have been used	ement in the score	s reflects the impr	ovement of the t	erminal facility	due to the	
1	A summary of the results are;							
	Item	2011	2012	2013				
	Domestic Annual Average	3.9	4.1	4.2				
				-				
	International Annual Average 4.1 4.2 4.3							
	inentational / unidal / verage							
	Location of Survey Fieldwork Documentation							
		AL's website (<u>www.ch</u>	istchurchairport.co.ı	<u>nz)</u>				
	Location of Survey Fieldwork Documentation	-	istchurchairport.co.	<u>12</u>)				
	Location of Survey Fieldwork Documentation The survey fieldwork documentation is available on Cl	Indicators			ta is received mo	nthly from the a	airlines.	

		Regulated Airport	Christchurch International Airport Ltd
		For Year Ended	30 June 2013
	REPORT ON OPERATIO	NAL IMPROVEMENT PR	OCESSES
n 2.0			
sclosu	e of the operational improven	ient process	
numbe improv thereb	of operational stakeholder for ement. The objective of these	orums which are held on a reg e groups is to ensure a coo efficiency improvements, pu	al service excellence. This is achieved through gular basis to consider operations and operations ordination of Christchurch Airport operations an irsue opportunities for innovation and to manag
			lemented in 2013, these include:
Safety			
	proved Apron Emergency Signage	nage - includes the identificat	tion of operational areas and the standardisation
• Po	table Bird Deterrent Laser Gu		pird management strategy to improve safety and
	urther reduce the possibility of side Dynamic Safety Signage	of a bird strike – Improved real-time reportin	ng to stakeholders
• Ap	on Road Induction Stop Light		l efficiency of ground operations on the regional
<i>ар</i> • Ре		Apron – to improve staff and	passenger safety on the regional apron
• Vis			posting and management of health and safety
Improv	ed Customer/Stakeholder (Communications	
• S	evere Weather Warning Syste	m – to increase the awarenes	ss and communication to stakeholders regarding
	5		minimise the affect of adverse weather events
		ssengers through the terminal	e desks – to improve passenger communication
			s in terminal – <i>to assist Asian passengers in their</i>
-	<i>urney through the terminal an</i> ectronic Notice Boards for Gre		ommunication to ground handlers
		•	date incidents to on and off campus stakeholders
Proce	ss Efficiencies		
• R	evised & Improved POFA proc	cedures to improve Quarantin	
	roduction of On-line Inductior ork training – e.g. Baggage Ha		ers and leveraged for additional specific campus
• S	ow clearing Equipment - to i		ond to snow events and reduce operational oerations
•	ved Customer Experience		
	5	ers shelters and Smoke Free p ional Walkway to mitigate vert	policy in and around building tigo issues of Passengers and Staff
. 1		the second of th	
A sum	nary of the various operationa	al forums are as follows:	
	Working Group		
This w	orking group was initially set		oject and is comprised of CIAL management, th
		nd ground handlers. The group of stakehours and this group of stakehours and this group of stakehours and the stakehours and the stakehours and the stakehours are stakehours and the stakehours are stakehours and the stakehours are stake	up meets on a monthly basis to discuss high leve olders.
	5		
	ation Group roup is comprised of CIAL	management and manv te	rminal based tenants, Airline and Governme
Agenc	es. This bi-monthly meeting	g is used as a forum for	the discussion of current topics and potenti
•	ed in the meeting minutes.	Saustaction survey is consid	lered as a meeting agenda item and discussion
	C C		
	Operating Committee committee exists to promote	understanding, co-operatior	n and a close liaison between AOC members
compr	sing CIAL and Government E	Border Agencies in order to n	naintain a high level of aircraft, passenger, cargets international best practices. It is also used
			erests of airlines are kept to the fore.
-			
The proce	s put in place by the Airport for it to me the indicators.	et regularly with airlines to improve the r	reliability and passenger satisfaction performance consistent with

Version 2 Disc A TI im ar cc Ti st ar G TI ba	15: REPORT ON O Josure of the operational irside Safety Group his group meets bi-mon approve driving and parking ty passenger comment amments. Arminal Health & Safet his committee includes anding agenda includes and an update on global of round Handlers Group his group meets bi-mon aggage handling system and apron operations are	It improvement nthly to discus ing standards, of t come through y Committee airlines, ground s; new hazards, communicable of nthly to discuss and Ground ha	process (contin s any safety is liscuss any incom- n concerning a d handlers, gov review of haza liseases. s ground hand andling issues	IENT PR nued) ssues rela ursions and airside safe vernment a ard register	ting to opera d inform of ar ety, this grou agencies and r, review of ar s. The group	ations, communy impending a up will consid d tenants and ny incident, Co	unicate rule airside works der and disc meets quart ontractor mar natters relatin	s. Should suss such terly. The nagement ng to the
Version 2 Disc A TI im ar cc Ti st ar G TI ba	.0 losure of the operational irside Safety Group his group meets bi-mo aprove driving and parking ty passenger comment proments. erminal Health & Safet his committee includes anding agenda includes and an update on global of round Handlers Group his group meets bi-mou aggage handling system	It improvement nthly to discus ing standards, of t come through y Committee airlines, ground s; new hazards, communicable of nthly to discuss and Ground ha	process (contin s any safety is liscuss any incom- n concerning a d handlers, gov review of haza liseases. s ground hand andling issues	nued) ssues rela ursions and airside safe vernment a ard register lling issues	ting to opera d inform of ar ety, this grou agencies and r, review of ar s. The group	ations, communy impending a up will consid d tenants and ny incident, Co	airside works der and disc meets quart ontractor mar natters relatii	s. Should cuss such terly. The nagement ng to the
Disc A Ti im ar cc Ti st ar G Ti ba	Iosure of the operational irside Safety Group his group meets bi-mo oprove driving and parki by passenger comment omments. Erminal Health & Safet his committee includes anding agenda includes and an update on global of round Handlers Group his group meets bi-mo aggage handling system	nthly to discus ing standards, c t come through y Committee airlines, ground s; new hazards, communicable c nthly to discuss and Ground ha	s any safety is liscuss any inco n concerning a d handlers, go review of haza diseases. s ground hand andling issues	ssues rela ursions an airside saf vernment a ard register lling issues	d inform of ar ety, this grou agencies and r, review of ar s. The group	ny impending a up will consid d tenants and ny incident, Co	airside works der and disc meets quart ontractor mar natters relatii	s. Should suss such terly. The nagement ng to the
A TI im an cc Ti st an G TI ba	irside Safety Group his group meets bi-mo aprove driving and parki by passenger comment omments. erminal Health & Safet his committee includes anding agenda includes and an update on global of round Handlers Group his group meets bi-mou aggage handling system	nthly to discus ing standards, c t come through y Committee airlines, ground s; new hazards, communicable c nthly to discuss and Ground ha	s any safety is liscuss any inco n concerning a d handlers, go review of haza diseases. s ground hand andling issues	ssues rela ursions an airside saf vernment a ard register lling issues	d inform of ar ety, this grou agencies and r, review of ar s. The group	ny impending a up will consid d tenants and ny incident, Co	airside works der and disc meets quart ontractor mar natters relatii	s. Should cuss such terly. The nagement ng to the
TI im ar cc Ti st ar G TI ba	his group meets bi-mo aprove driving and parki by passenger comment omments. erminal Health & Safet his committee includes anding agenda includes and an update on global of round Handlers Group his group meets bi-mo aggage handling system	ng standards, c t come through y Committee airlines, ground ; new hazards, communicable c nothly to discuss and Ground ha	liscuss any inco n concerning a d handlers, go review of haza liseases. s ground hand andling issues	ursions and airside safe vernment a ard register Iling issues	d inform of ar ety, this grou agencies and r, review of ar s. The group	ny impending a up will consid d tenants and ny incident, Co	airside works der and disc meets quart ontractor mar natters relatii	s. Should cuss such terly. The nagement ng to the
TI st ar G TI ba	nis committee includes anding agenda includes ad an update on global o round Handlers Group nis group meets bi-mou aggage handling system	airlines, ground s; new hazards, communicable c nand Ground ha	review of haza diseases. s ground hand andling issues	ard register Iling issues	, review of ar s. The group	ny incident, Co deals with m	ontractor mar natters relatii	nagement
TI ba	nis group meets bi-moi aggage handling system	nthly to discuse a and Ground ha	andling issues	lling issues	s. The group on. The safe	deals with m and efficient p	natters relatin	ng to the baggage
	process put in place by the Airp acted in the indicators.	port for it to meet reg	ularly with airlines to	improve the r	eliability and pass	enger satisfaction p	performance cons	sistent with the

	Regulated Airport	Christchurch International	Airport Ltd
	For Year Ended	30 June 2013	
SCH	EDULE 16: REPORT ON ASSOCIATED STATISTICS		
	/ersion 2.0		
. 1	6a: Aircraft statistics		
6 1 7	OA: AITCFAIT STATISTICS Disclosures are categorised by core aircraft types such as Boeing 737-400 or Airbus A320. Sub varian	ts within these types need not be disclose	od.
ĺ ĺ			
8	(i) International air passenger services—total number and MCTOW of landings		
		Total number of	
9	Aircraft type	landings	(tonnes)
10	Boeing 747-400	2	794 127,595
11 12	Boeing 777-300ER Boeing 777-300	363	1,497
12	Boeing 777-200	395	94,154
14	Boeing 767-300	38	7,101
15	Boeing 737-800	1,115	88,104
16	Airbus A320	2,142	154,224
17	Boeing 737-700	46	3,193
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
27			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41 42			
42 43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52	Total	4.400	476 660
53 54		4,106	476,662 Page 35

		Regulated Airport Christchur For Year Ended	rch International 30 June 2013	Airport Ltd
		OULE 16: REPORT ON ASSOCIATED STATISTICS (cont)		
ref		sion 2.0 (ii) Domestic air passenger services—the total number and MCTOW of landings of flights I	by aircraft typo du	
61		year	by an craft type du	ing disclosure
62		(1). Domestic air passenger services—aircraft 30 tonnes MCTOW or more	Tatalasantasat	Takal MOTOW
63		Aircraft type	Total number of landings	Total MCTOW (tonnes)
64		Airbus A320	4,742	341,424
65		Boeing 737-300	5,984	407,139
66 67			·	
68				
69				
70				
71 72				
72				
74				
75				
76 77			·	
78				
79				
80				
81 82				
83				
84				
85				
86 87				
88	!	Total	10,726	748,563
89		(2). Domestic air passenger services—aircraft 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes	MCTOW Total number of	Total MCTOW
90		Aircraft type	landings	(tonnes)
91		CVLT	56	1,473
92 93		ATR 72-600 ATR 72-500	827 7,763	18,608 170,786
94		De Havilland Dash 8 (300)	6,970	135,950
95		Beech B190	2,038	15,825
96		BAe-3200 Jetstream super 31	34	249
97 98				
99				
100				
101				
102 103				
103				
105				
106				
107 108				
109				
110				
111				├ ────┤
112 113				├ ────┤
114		Total	17,688	342,891
115				Page 35

	Regulated Airport Christchurch International Airport Ltd									
	For Year Ended 30 June 2013									
SC	HEL	DULE 16: REPORT ON ASSOCIATED STATISTI	CS (cont 2)							
ref		sion 2.0	(
122	(iii) The total number and MCTOW of landings of aircraft not included in (i) and (ii) above during disclosure year									
	Total number of Total MCTOW									
123					landings	(tonnes)				
124	Air passenger service aircraft less than 3 tonnes MCTOW – – –									
125										
126										
127		Other aircraft (including General Aviation)			8,190	23,384				
100		(iv) The total number and MCTOW of landings during	w the disclosure ve	.						
128		(iv) The total number and MCTOW of landings during	g the disclosure ye	ar	Total number of	Total MCTOW				
129					landings	(tonnes)				
130		Total			43,551	1,740,453				
131	16k	: Terminal access								
		Number of domestic jet and international air passenger ser	vice aircraft movem	ents* during disclos	ure year categorise	d by the main form				
132										
133			Contact stand–airbridge	Contact stand–walking	Remote stand—bus	Total				
134		International air passenger service movements	8,181	18	_	8,199				
135		Domestic jet air passenger service movements	21,304	9	_	21,313				
136		* NB. The terminal access disclosure figures do not include non	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ا <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>					
137	160	: Passenger statistics								
138			Domestic	International		Total				
139		The total number of passengers during disclosure year								
140		Inbound passengers [†]	2,085,183	658,088		2,743,271				
141		Outbound passengers [†]	2,110,258	646,846		2,757,104				
142		Total (gross figure)	4,195,441	1,304,934		5,500,375				
144		less estimated number of transfer and transit passen	gers	-		_				
146		Total (net figure)				5,500,375				
		† Inbound and outbound passenger numbers include the number of tra	nsit and transfer passen	gers on the flight. The n	umber of transit and tran	sfer passengers can				
147	·	be subtracted from the total to estimate numbers that pass through the	passenger terminal.							
	10-	: Airline statistics								
148				and the dimension of the second	And the state of the state					
149		Name of each commercial carrier providing a regular air tra	ansport passenger s	ervice through the a	airport during disclos	sure year				
450		Domestic			International					
150		Air Chathams	ו ו	Air NZ	International					
151 152		Air Nelson		Air Pacific						
152		Air NZ		Emirates						
153		Eagle Airways		Jetstar						
155		Jetstar		Qantas						
156		Mt Cook Airlines		Singapore Airlines						
157				Virgin Australia						
158										
158 159										

Regulated AirportChristchurch International Airport LtdFor Year Ended30 June 2013										
	HEDULE 16: F	REPORT ON ASSOCIATED	O STATISTI	CS (cont 3)					
		Resource Statistics		Specifie Termina		Airfield	Aircraf Freig			
168				Activitie		Activities	Activi		Total	
169		full-time equivalent employees			68	6	3	1	132	
170	Human res	source costs (\$000)							10,401	
171	Commontor	y concerning the report on as	popiotod stati	otion						
172		· · ·	Socialeu Stati	31103						
173	Source	of Data:								
174		Data collated for the air passenger services is obtained from CIAL's Airline Billing Database, which is compiled from information								
175	electron	ically provided on a monthly basis	from the Airway	ys Corporation	informa	tion system.				
176	The data	a for terminal access figures origina	ates from Airlin	es, customs ar	nd FID's	(Flight informat	ion data systen	n) data.		
177	The hun	nan resource statistics has been ca	alculated from p	ayroll figures	as at the	end of 2013.				
178		nal Notes:	I							
179		International Transit/Transfer nun	nbers are not c	collected by CI	AL.					
180		Air passenger services on aircraft				lected by CIAI	. due to the sm	all number of pa	assenger	
181		services in this category.							accorigo:	
182		llowing tables show a comparison	of pricing forec	asts to actual	esults fo	or the 2013 period	od in passenge	r movements, la	ndings	
183	and MC	TOW.								
184				201	3					
185			Pricir			Varianc	е			
186	Interna	tional Arrivals	Foreca 679,67		Actual 58,088	-3.189	16			
187		tional Departures	675,88		46,846					
188		Total International 1,355,561 1,30				-3.79				
189				85,183 10,258	2.179					
190					95,441	1.829 2.009				
191 192	Total F	Total Passenger Movements 5,468,933 5,500,375 0.57%								
193			0,400,00	0,0		0.01				
194	Total La	andings:					0040		- I	
195					Pri	cina	2013 Actual	Variance	-	
196					Forecast					
197		tic flights of 3 tonnes or mor	re but less th	nan 30						
198		MCTOW			21,054		17,688	-16.0%	╡ ┃	
199 200		tic flights of 30 tonnes MCTO	OW or more		12,307		10,726	-12.8%	-	
200 201		ational flights			4,977		4,106	-17.5%	-	
201	Other f	•			11,573		11,031	-4.7%		
202	Iotal L	andings				49,911	43,551	-12.7%	-	
204										
205	Total M	стоw:							_	
206					_ ·	aina	2013	Varian	╡ ┃	
207				cing precast	Actual	Variance				
208	Domes	tic flights of 3 tonnes or mor	e but less th	nan 30					1	
209		MCTOW			4	410,571	342,891	-16.5%		
210	Domes	Domestic flights of 30 tonnes MCTOW or more				334.784	748,563	-10.3%		
211	Interna	ational flights			į	568,133	476,662	-16.1%		
212	Other f	Other flights					172,337	-5.8%		
213	Total N	истоw			1,9	996,412	1,740,453	-12.8%	_	
214										
215 216	The sha		unana an f 4l -	offoot of the	ا ما	lomond in the O	012 voc- T'	include- 4 "	a at of	
216		e summary provides a very clear s itution of aircraft type over 2013								
217		of -\$3.02m or -12.3%.								
219									Page 37	

Page 37

	Regulated Airport	Christchurch Intern	national Airport Ltd
	For Year Ended	30 Jur	ne 2013
SC	HEDULE 17: REPORT ON PRICING STATISTICS		
ref	Version 2.0		
6	17a: Components of Pricing Statistics		
7	Net operating charges from airfield activities relating to domestic flights of 3 tonnes or more but		(\$000)
8	less than 30 tonnes MCTOW		3,225
9	Net operating charges from airfield activities relating to domestic flights of 30 tonnes MCTOW or more		10,781
10	Net operating charges from airfield activities relating to international flights		6,795
11 12	Net operating charges from specified passenger terminal activities relating to domestic passengers Net operating charges from specified passenger terminal activities relating to international passengers		5,727
13			10,501
14			Number of passengers
15			1,503,958
16	Number of domestic passengers on flights of 30 tonnes MCTOW or more		2,691,483
17	Number of international passengers		1,304,934
18 19			Total MCTOW (tonnes)
20	Total MCTOW of domestic flights of 3 tonnes or more but less than 30 tonnes MCTOW		342,891
21	Total MCTOW of domestic flights of 30 tonnes MCTOW or more		748,563
22	Total MCTOW of international flights		476,662
	17h: Drieing Statistics		
23	17b: Pricing Statistics	Average charge	Average charge
24	Average charge from airfield activities relating to domestic flights of 3 tonnes or more but less than	(\$ per passenger)	(\$ per tonne MCTOW)
25	30 tonnes MCTOW	2.14	9.40
26	Average charge from airfield activities relating to domestic flights of 30 tonnes MCTOW or more	4.01	14.40
27	Average charge from airfield activities relating to international flights	5.21	14.26
		Average charge	Average charge
		(\$ per domestic	(\$ per international
28	Average shares from associated accounter terminal activities	passenger) 1.37	passenger)
29	Average charge from specified passenger terminal activities	1.37	13.01
		Average charge	Average charge
		(\$ per domestic	(\$ per international
30 31	Average charge from airfield activities and specified passenger terminal activities	passenger) 4.70	passenger) 18.22
0.			
32	Commentary on Pricing Statistics		
33	The pricing outcomes above reflect:		
34	 The increase in terminal and airfield charges after the pricing reset as at 1 December 20 	012.	
35 36			
37	 The continued reduction in international passenger and aircraft movement since 2010 Christchurch earthquakes and continuing aftershocks. 	as a consequence of the	ne impacts of the
38			alala fallan úsa tha
39	 The change in aircraft type from jet to turbo prop to service domestic routes as airline reduction in passenger numbers. 	s sought to improve ye	eids following the
40			
41 42			
42			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49 50			
51			
52			
53			
54			Page 38